arXiv:math-ph/0508056v1 29 Aug 2005

# The inverse problem for perturbed harmonic oscillator on the half-line

Dmitry Chelkak[*] and Evgeny Korotyaev[†]

7th February 2008

**Abstract**

We consider the perturbed harmonic oscillator $T_D\psi = -\psi'' + x^2\psi + q(x)\psi$, $\psi(0) = 0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, where $q \in \mathbf{H}_+ = \{q', xq \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)\}$ is a real-valued potential. We prove that the mapping $q \mapsto$ spectral data $= \{$eigenvalues of $T_D\} \oplus \{$norming constants$\}$ is one-to-one and onto. The complete characterization of the set of spectral data which corresponds to $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ is given. Moreover, we solve the similar inverse problem for the family of boundary conditions $\psi'(0) = b\psi(0)$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

## 1 Introduction

Consider the Schrödinger operator

$$\mathrm{H} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} + \mathbf{x}^2 + q(|\mathbf{x}|), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

acting in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We set $x = |\mathbf{x}| \geqslant 0$ and assume $q$ is a real-valued bounded function. The operator H has pure point spectrum. Using the standard transformation $u(\mathbf{x}) \to xu(\mathbf{x})$ and expansion in spherical harmonics, we obtain that H is unitary equivalent to a direct sum of the Schrödinger operators acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. The first operator from this sum is given by

$$T_D\psi = -\psi'' + x^2\psi + q(x)\psi\,, \qquad \psi(0) = 0\,, \qquad x \geqslant 0\,.$$

The second is $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 + \frac{2}{x^2} + q(x)$ etc. Below we consider the simplest case, i.e., the operator $T_D$. In our paper we assume that

$$q \in \mathbf{H}_+ = \Big\{ q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) : q', xq \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \Big\}\,.$$

---

[*] Correspondence author. Dept. of Math. Analysis, Math. Mech. Faculty, St.Petersburg State University. Universitetskij pr. 28, Staryj Petergof, 198504 St.Petersburg, Russia. Partly supported by grants VNP Minobrazovaniya 3.1–4733, RFFR 03–01–00377 and NSh–2266.2003.1. E-mail: delta4@math.spbu.ru

[†] Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin. Rudower Chaussee 25, 12489 Berlin, Germany. Partly supported by DFG project BR691/23-1. E-mail: evgeny@math.hu-berlin.de

This class of potentials is convenient to solve the inverse problem as it was shown in [CKK2], which is devoted to the analogous problem on the whole real line. Recall that the complete characterization of the set of spectral data that corresponds to potentials $q\in L^2$ is unknown.

Define the unperturbed operator $T_D^0\psi = -\psi'' + x^2\psi$, $\psi(0) = 0$. The spectrum $\sigma(T_D)$ of $T_D$ is the increasing sequence of simple eigenvalues $\lambda_{2n+1} = \lambda^0_{2n+1} + o(1)$, $n \geqslant 0$, where $\lambda^0_{2n+1} = 4n+3$, $n \geqslant 0$, are the eigenvalues of $T_D^0$. The spectrum $\sigma(T_D)$ does not determine $q(x)$ uniquely, see Theorems 2.2 and 2.3. Then what does the isospectral set

$$\mathrm{Iso}_D(q) = \{p \in \mathbf{H}_+ : \lambda_{2n+1}(p) = \lambda_{2n+1}(q) \text{ for all } n \geqslant 0\}$$

of all potentials $p$ with the same Dirichlet spectrum as $q$ look like?

We come to the inverse problem. It has two parts: i) to describe the set $\mathrm{Iso}_D(q)$; ii) to characterize all sequences of real numbers which arise as the Dirichlet spectra of $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$. In order to describe $\mathrm{Iso}_D(q)$, we need "additional" spectral data. Below we consider two choices of such data:

1) The Neumann spectrum $\sigma(T_N)$ of $q(x)$, where $T_N\psi = -\psi'' + x^2\psi + q(x)\psi$, $\psi'(0)=0$. The spectrum $\sigma(T_N)$ is the increasing sequence of simple eigenvalues $\lambda_{2n}=\lambda^0_{2n}+o(1)$, where $\lambda^0_{2n} = 4n+1$, $n\geqslant 0$. The corresponding inverse spectral problem $q \mapsto \{\lambda_{2n}\}_{n=0}^\infty \cup \{\lambda_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is equivalent to the inverse spectral problem on the whole real line with even potentials $q(x)=q(-x)$, $x\in\mathbb{R}$ (see Theorem 2.2 and Sect. 3).

2) The sequence of the so-called norming constants for eigenfunctions of $T_D$. The corresponding inverse problem is the main point of our paper (see Theorem 2.3 and Sect. 4).

We also consider the inverse problem for the family of operators $\{T_b\}_{b\in\mathbb{R}}$ given by

$$T_b\psi = -\psi'' + x^2\psi + q(x)\psi, \qquad \psi'(0)=b\psi(0), \qquad x\geqslant 0,$$

see Theorem 2.4 and Sect. 5. Note that the analysis of the whole family $\{T_b\}_{b\in\mathbb{R}}$ is simpler than the case of the fixed constant $b \in \mathbb{R}$. It is similar to the Sturm-Liouville problem on the unit interval ([IT], [IMT], [RT]). We give the explicit expression of $b$ in terms of spectral data in Theorem 2.5.

Our approach is a generalization of the method applied in [CKK2] and [PT] (devoted to the inverse Dirichlet problem on $[0, 1]$). There is a big difference (see e.g. [GS]) between the case of the whole real line and the case of the half-line. The main point in the inverse problem for the perturbed harmonic oscillator $T_D$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ is the characterization of "additional" spectral data, i.e. the characterization of the set $\mathrm{Iso}_D(q)$ (see [GS] about the connectedness of this set in various classes of potentials). We introduce the standard norming constants $s_{2n+1}(q)$ by (2.5), the same way as in [CKK2], [PT]. Unfortunately, we have two problems: i) the sequence $\{s_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ doesn't belong to a "proper" Hilbert space; ii) the eigenvalues and the norming constants are not "independent coordinates" in the space of potentials.

Roughly speaking, the set of all sequences $\{s_{2n+1}(p)\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $p\in\mathrm{Iso}_D(q)$, essentially depends on the spectrum $\{\lambda_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty$. Recall that in the case of the Sturm-Liouville operators $-y''+q(x)y$ on $[0, 1]$ with the mixed boundary conditions $y(0)=y'(1)=0$[1] (see [K2]) the eigenvalues and the norming constants are not independent since in this case we have the identity similar to (2.10). Note that the dependence between $\{\lambda_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{s_{2n+1}(p)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is more complicated. Therefore, we need an essential modification of the norming constants. We define the new coordinates $\{r_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by (2.6). The new parameters $\{r_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are "independent coordinates" and $\{r_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \ell^2_{3/4}$ (see Theorem 2.3). Moreover, the spectral mapping $q \mapsto (\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, q(0), \{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty})$ is a real-analytic isomorphism between $\mathbf{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{S}_D \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell^2_{3/4}$, where

---

[1] More general, $y(0)=0$, $y'(1)=ky(1)$ with any fixed $k$.

$$\lambda_n = \lambda_n^0 + \mu_n\,, \quad n \geqslant 0\,, \qquad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_D = \Big\{\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{H} : \lambda_1^0 + h_0 < \lambda_3^0 + h_1 < \lambda_5^0 + h_2 < \dots\Big\}.$$

In other words, after this modification we have the spectral mapping with similar properties as in the case of the real line [CKK2] and the new parameters $\{r_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \ell^2_{3/4}$ give the correct parameterization of the isospectral manifolds.

The inverse problem for the family of operators $\{T_b\}_{b\in\mathbb{R}}$ is similar to the case of $T_D$ but we need to control the dependence of our spectral data from the boundary constant $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Here Theorem 2.5 plays a crucial role. It is similar to the case of the Sturm-Liouville operators $-y'' + q(x)y$ on $[0,1]$ with the mixed boundary conditions $y(0) = y'(1) = 0$, see [K2].

The ingredients of the proof of main theorem are:

i) Uniqueness Theorem. We adopt the proof from [PT] and [CKK1]. This proof requires only some estimates of the fundamental solutions.

ii) Analysis of the Fréchet derivative of the nonlinear spectral mapping $\{\text{potentials}\} \mapsto \{\text{spectral data}\}$ at the point $q = 0$. We emphasize that this linear operator is complicated (in particular, it is not the Fourier transform, as it was in [PT]). Here we essentially use the technique of generating functions (from [CKK2]), which are analytic in the unit disc.

iii) Asymptotic analysis of the difference between spectral data and its Fréchet derivatives at $q = 0$. Here the calculations and asymptotics from [CKK2] play an important role.

iv) The proof that the spectral mapping is a surjection, i.e. the fact that each element of an appropriate Hilbert (or Banach) space can be obtained as spectral data of some potential $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$. Here we use the standard Darboux transform of second-order differential equations.

The present paper continues the series of papers [CKK1], [CKK2] devoted to the inverse spectral problem for the perturbed harmonic oscillator on the real line. Note that the set of spectra which correspond to potentials from $\mathbf{H}_+$ (see Sect. 3 for details) is similar to the space of spectral data in [CKK2]. In particular, the range of the linear operator $f(z) \mapsto (1-z)^{-1/2} f(z)$ acting in some Hardy-Sobolev space in the unit disc plays an important role. As a byproduct of our analysis, we give the simple proof of the equivalence between two definitions of the space of spectral data which was established in [CKK2] using a more involved technique.

## 2 Main results

We recall some basic results from [CKK2]. Consider the operator

$$T\psi = -\psi'' + x^2\psi + q(x)\psi\,, \qquad q \in \mathbf{H}_{even} = \Big\{q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : q', xq \in L^2(\mathbb{R});\ q(x) = q(-x),\ x \in \mathbb{R}\Big\},$$

acting in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The spectrum $\sigma(T)$ is an increasing sequence of simple eigenvalues given by

$$\lambda_n(q)=\lambda_n^0+\mu_n(q)\,, \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_n^0=\lambda_n(0)=2n+1,\ n\geqslant 0\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n(q)\to 0 \text{ as } n\to\infty\,.$$

Define the real weighted $\ell^2$-space

$$\ell_r^2=\Big\{c=\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}:\ c_n\in\mathbb{R}\,,\ \|c\|_{\ell_r^2}^2=\textstyle\sum_{n\geqslant 0}(1+n)^{2r}|c_n|^2<+\infty\Big\}\,,\quad r\geqslant 0\,,$$

and the Hardy-Sobolev space of functions, which are analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}=\{z:|z|<1\}$:

$$H_r^2=H_r^2(\mathbb{D})=\Big\{f(z)\equiv\textstyle\sum_{n\geqslant 0}f_nz^n,\ z\in\mathbb{D}:\ f_n\in\mathbb{R}\,,\ \|f\|_{H_r^2}=\|\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\|_{\ell_r^2}<+\infty\Big\}\,,\quad r\geqslant 0\,.$$

Introduce the **space of spectral data**

$$\mathcal{H}=\Big\{h=\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}:\ \sum_{n\geqslant 0}h_nz^n\equiv\frac{f(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}}\,,\ f\in H_{\frac34}^2\Big\}\,,\quad \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}=\|f\|_{H_{3/4}^2}\,. \tag{2.1}$$

**Theorem 2.1 ([CKK2]).** *The mapping* $q\mapsto\{\mu_n(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *is a real-analytic isomorphism*[2] *between the space of even potentials* $\mathbf{H}_{even}$ *and the following open convex subset of* $\mathcal{H}$*:*

$$\mathcal{S}=\Big\{\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\mathcal{H}:\lambda_0^0+h_0<\lambda_1^0+h_1<\lambda_2^0+h_2<\ldots\Big\}\,.$$

*Remark.* The inequalities in the definition of $\mathcal{S}$ correspond to the condition of monotonicity of eigenvalues of $T$: $\lambda_0(q)<\lambda_1(q)<\lambda_2(q)<\ldots$

Recall the following more precise representation from [CKK2]:

$$\lambda_n(q)=\lambda_n^0+\mu_n(q),\quad \mu_n(q)=\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}}q(t)dt}{\pi\sqrt{\lambda_n^0}}+\widetilde{\mu}_n(q),\quad \{\widetilde{\mu}_n(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\mathcal{H}_0\,, \tag{2.2}$$

where the subspace $\mathcal{H}_0\subset\mathcal{H}$ of codimension 1 is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_0=\Big\{h\in\mathcal{H}:\sqrt{1-z}\textstyle\sum_{n\geqslant 0}h_nz^n|_{z=1}=f(1)=0\Big\}\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Remark that Lemma 3.4 yields $\widetilde{\mu}_n(q)=O(n^{-\frac34}\log^{\frac12}n)$ as $n\to\infty$.

Next we consider the inverse problem for the operator $T_D$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$. For each $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$ we put $q(-x)=q(x)$, $x\geqslant 0$. This gives a natural isomorphism between $\mathbf{H}_+$ and $\mathbf{H}_{even}$. We have

$$\sigma(T)=\sigma(T_D)\cup\sigma(T_N)\,,\quad \sigma(T_N)=\{\lambda_{2n}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,,\quad \sigma(T_D)=\{\lambda_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,.$$

---

[2] By definition, the mapping of Hilbert spaces $F:H_1\to H_2$ is a local real-analytic isomorphism iff for any $y\in H_1$ it has an analytic continuation $\widetilde{F}$ into some complex neighborhood $y\in U\subset H_{1\mathbb{C}}$ of $y$ such that $\widetilde{F}$ is a bijection between $U$ and some complex neighborhood $F(y)\in\widetilde{F}(U)\subset H_{2\mathbb{C}}$ of $F(y)$ and both $\widetilde{F}\,,\widetilde{F}^{-1}$ are analytic. The local isomorphism $F$ is a (global) isomorphism iff it is a bijection.

Using Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.5, we obtain the following description of the perturbations of $\sigma(T_D)$ and $\sigma(T_N)$ which correspond to potentials $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$:

$$\mathcal{S}_D=\Big\{\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,,\ q\in\mathbf{H}_+\Big\}=\Big\{\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\mathcal{H}:\lambda_1^0+h_0<\lambda_3^0+h_1<\lambda_5^0+h_2<\ldots\Big\}\,,$$

$$\mathcal{S}_N=\Big\{\{\mu_{2n}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,,\ q\in\mathbf{H}_+\Big\}=\Big\{\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\mathcal{H}:\lambda_0^0+h_0<\lambda_2^0+h_1<\lambda_4^0+h_2<\ldots\Big\}=\mathcal{S}_D$$

(recall that $\lambda_{2n+1}^0=\lambda_{2n}^0+2\,,\ n\geqslant 0$). It is important that two spectra $\sigma(T_D)$ and $\sigma(T_N)$ are not independent. The following Theorem describes this relationship between $\{\mu_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\mu_{2n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Let

$$\tau_n=\mu_{2n}-\mu_{2n+1}=\lambda_{2n}-\lambda_{2n+1}+2\,,\quad n\geqslant 0\,.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *(i) The mapping* $q\mapsto\Big(\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,,\{\tau_n(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big)$ *is a real-analytic isomorphism between* $\mathbf{H}_+$ *and the following open and convex subset of* $\mathcal{H}\times\ell^2_{3/4}$*:*

$$\Big\{\Big(\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,,\{\tau_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big)\in\mathcal{H}\times\ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}}:\lambda_0^0+h_0+\tau_0<\lambda_1^0+h_0<\lambda_2^0+h_1+\tau_1<\lambda_3^0+h_1<\ldots\Big\}\,.$$

*(ii) The mapping* $q\mapsto\Big(\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,,\{\tau_n(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big)$ *is a real-analytic isomorphism between* $\mathbf{H}_+$ *and the following open and convex subset of* $\mathcal{H}\times\ell^2_{3/4}$*:*

$$\Big\{\Big(\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,,\{\tau_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big)\in\mathcal{H}\times\ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}}:\lambda_0^0+h_0<\lambda_1^0+h_0-\tau_0<\lambda_2^0+h_1<\lambda_3^0+h_1-\tau_1<\ldots\Big\}\,.$$

*(iii) For each* $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$ *the identity* $q(0)=2\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\tau_n(q)$ *holds true.*

*Remark.* As in Theorem 2.1, these subsets are open and convex. Inequalities in its definitions correspond to the monotonicity of the sequence $\{\lambda_m(q)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$.

We now come to the main results of our paper. Namely, we consider the spectrum of $T_D$ and a convenient choice of additional spectral data (coordinates of an isospectral set). As a first step, we introduce the norming constants $s_{2n+1}(q)$. In order to keep the connection with the problem on $\mathbb{R}$ we use odd indices. We consider the unperturbed equation

$$-\psi''+x^2\psi=\lambda\psi\,,\quad\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,,$$

and its solutions $\psi_+^0(x,\lambda)=D_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(\sqrt{2}x)$, where $D_\mu(x)$ is a Weber function (see [B]). The perturbed equation

$$-\psi''+x^2\psi+q(x)\psi=\lambda\psi\,,\quad\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,,\quad q\in\mathbf{H}_+,\tag{2.4}$$

has a solution $\psi_+(x,\lambda,q)$ such that $\psi_+(x,\lambda,q)=\psi_+^0(x,\lambda)(1+o(1))\,,\ x\to+\infty$ (see (A.13)). The norming constant (see also [MT], [IT], [PT]) is defined by

$$s_{2n+1}(q)=-\log|\psi_+'(0,\lambda_{2n+1}(q),q)|=\log\left[(-1)^n\frac{\varphi(\cdot,\lambda_{2n+1}(q),q)}{\psi_+(\cdot,\lambda_{2n+1}(q),q)}\right]\,,\quad n\geqslant 0\,,\tag{2.5}$$

where $\varphi$ is the solution of (2.4) such that $\varphi(0,\lambda,q)=0$ and $\varphi'(0,\lambda,q)=1$.

The standard identities $\psi_+^2=\{\dot\psi_+\,,\psi_+\}'$, $\varphi^2=\{\dot\varphi\,,\varphi\}'$ imply[3]

$$\|\psi_+(\cdot,\lambda_{2n+1}(q),q)\|_+^2=(-1)^n\dot w_D(\lambda_{2n+1}(q),q)e^{-s_{2n+1}(q)}\,,$$
$$\|\varphi(\cdot,\lambda_{2n+1}(q),q)\|_+^2=(-1)^n\dot w_D(\lambda_{2n+1}(q),q)e^{s_{2n+1}(q)}\,,$$

where

$$w_D(\lambda,q)=\{\varphi,\psi_+\}(\lambda,q)=-\psi_+(0,\lambda,q)=-\psi_+^0(0,\lambda)\cdot\prod_{n\geqslant 0}\frac{\lambda-\lambda_{2n+1}(q)}{\lambda-\lambda_{2n+1}^0}$$

and the entire function $\psi_+^0(0,\lambda)=D_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(0)$ is given by (A.3).

If $q=0$, then the norming constants have the form[4]

$$s_{2n+1}^0=-\log|(\psi_+^0)'(0,\lambda_{2n+1}^0)|=-\log\pi^{-\frac12}2^{n+\frac32}\Gamma(n+\tfrac32)\sim -n\log n\,,\quad n\to\infty\,.$$

In many cases[5], the sequence of eigenvalues and the sequence of norming constants are "independent coordinates" in the space of potentials. But, different from most known cases, $\{\mu_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{s_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are not independent parameters (establishing a real analytic isomorphism between $\mathbf{H}_+$ and some Hilbert space). To find such an isomorphism, we use some **modification** $\{r_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of the norming constants $\{s_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$. This modification is not trivial and it uses the discrete Hilbert transform. Namely, we define $r_{2n+1}(q)$ by

$$s_{2n+1}(q)=s_{2n+1}^0+\alpha_{2n+1}\cdot\mu_{2n+1}(q)+\frac{q(0)}{4(2n+1)}+\frac12\sum_{m\geqslant 0}\frac{\mu_{2m+1}(q)}{2(n-m)+1}+r_{2n+1}(q)\,,\quad n\geqslant 0\,,\ (2.6)$$

where

$$\alpha_{2n+1}=-\frac{(\dot\psi_+^0)'}{(\psi_+^0)'}(0,\lambda_{2n+1}^0)\sim-\log n\,,\quad n\to\infty\,.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *(i) Let* $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$ . *Then* $\{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty\in\ell_{3/4}^2$ , *where* $r_{2n+1}$ *is given by (2.6)*
*(ii) The mapping* $q\mapsto(\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty\,,q(0)\,,\{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty)$ *is a real-analytic isomorphism between* $\mathbf{H}_+$ *and* $\mathcal{S}_D\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell_{3/4}^2$ .

*Remark.* $(q(0)\,,\{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty)$ are "independent coordinates" in the isospectral sets.

We consider the inverse problem for the family of operators $T_by=-y''+x^2y+q(x)y$ with the boundary conditions $y'(0)=by(0)$ , $b\in\mathbb{R}$ . Denote its spectrum by

$$\sigma(T_b)=\{\lambda_{2n}(q,b)\}_{n=0}^\infty=\{\lambda_{2n}^0+\mu_{2n}(q,b)\}_{n=0}^\infty$$

(note that $\lambda_{2n}(q,0)=\lambda_{2n}(q)$). Then (see (5.2))

$$\lambda_{2n}(q,b)=\lambda_{2n}^0+\frac{2\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}q(t)dt+2b}{\pi\sqrt{\lambda_{2n}^0}}+\widetilde\mu_{2n}(q,b)\,,\quad\{\widetilde\mu_{2n}(q,b)\}_0^\infty\in\mathcal{H}_0,\qquad(2.7)$$

for $(q,b)\in\mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R}$ and, in particular, $\widetilde\mu_{2n}(q,b)=O(n^{-\frac34}\log^{\frac12}n)$ , $n\to\infty$ .

---

[3] Here and below we use the notations $\dot{}=\partial/\partial\lambda$ , $\{f,g\}=fg'-f'g$ , $\|\cdot\|_+=\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ , $(\cdot,\cdot)_+=(\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ .

[4] We use the standard notation $f\sim g\Leftrightarrow f=g(1+o(g))$ .

[5] Sturm-Liouville operators $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+q(x)$ on $[0,1]$ ([PT],[IT],[IMT],[DT], [K1]) with all types of "separated" boundary conditions; perturbed harmonic oscillator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+x^2+q(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ ([MT], [CKK2]).

By analogy with (2.5), (2.6) we introduce the norming constants $s_{2n}(q,b)$ and the new parameters $r_{2n}(q,b)$ by

$$s_{2n}(q,b) = -\log|\psi_+(0,\lambda_{2n}(q),q)| = \log\left[(-1)^n \frac{(\vartheta+b\varphi)(\cdot,\lambda_{2n}(q),q)}{\psi_+(\cdot,\lambda_{2n}(q),q)}\right] \tag{2.8}$$

$$= s_{2n}^0 + \alpha_{2n}\cdot\mu_{2n}(q,b) - \frac{q(0)-2b^2}{4(2n-1)} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\geqslant 0}\frac{\mu_{2m}(q,b)}{2(n-m)-1} + r_{2n}(q,b)\,, \quad n\geqslant 0\,, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\vartheta$ is the solution of (2.4) such that $\vartheta(0,\lambda,q)=1$, $\vartheta'(0,\lambda,q)=0$ and

$$s_{2n}^0 = -\log|\psi_+^0(0,\lambda_{2n}^0)| \sim -n\log n\,, \quad \alpha_{2n} = -\frac{\dot\psi_+^0}{\psi_+^0}(0,\lambda_{2n}^0) \sim -\log n\,, \quad n\to\infty\,.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** *(i) Let* $(q,b)\in\mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R}$. *Then* $\{r_{2n}(q,b)\}_{n=0}^\infty\in\ell^2_{3/4}$, *where* $r_{2n}$ *is given by (2.9).* *(ii) The mapping* $(q,b)\mapsto(\{\mu_{2n}(q,b)\}_{n=0}^\infty, q(0)-2b^2, \{r_{2n}(q,b)\}_{n=0}^\infty)$ *is a real-analytic isomorphism between* $\mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R}$ *and* $\mathcal{S}_N\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}$.

Finally, we give the explicit expression of the "boundary" constant $b$ in terms of spectral data. Introduce the Wronskian

$$w_N(\lambda,q,b) = \psi_+'(0,\lambda,q) - b\psi_+(0,\lambda,q)\,, \quad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,.$$

Note that the entire function $w_N(\lambda,q,b)$ can be reconstructed by its zeros $\{\lambda_{2n}(q,b)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as

$$w_N(\lambda,q,b) = (\psi_+^0)'(0,\lambda)\cdot\prod_{n\geqslant 0}\frac{\lambda-\lambda_{2n}(q,b)}{\lambda-\lambda_{2n}^0}\,, \quad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,,$$

where the entire function $(\psi_+^0)'(0,\lambda)=\sqrt{2}D'_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(0)$ is given by (A.3).

**Theorem 2.5.** *For each* $(b,q)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbf{H}_+$ *the following identity is fulfilled:*

$$-b = \sum_{n\geqslant 0}\Big(\frac{(-1)^n e^{-s_{2n}(q,b)}}{w_N(\lambda_{2n}(q,b),q,b)} - 2\pi^{-1/2}E_n\Big)\,, \quad E_n = \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^2}\,. \tag{2.10}$$

*Remark.* In the proof we use ideas from [K2].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 3 we recall some results of [CKK2], describe basic properties of $\mathcal{H}$ and prove Theorem 2.2. Section 4 is devoted to the Dirichlet boundary condition at $x=0$ (here we prove Theorem 2.3). In Section 5 we solve the inverse problem for the family of the operators $\{T_b\}_{b\in\mathbb{R}}$ (Theorems 2.4, 2.5). All needed properties of fundamental solutions, gradients of spectral data and asymptotics are collected in Appendix.

# 3 Preliminaries and proof of Theorem 2.2

We recall some crucial points of [CKK2]. Let $\psi_n^0$ be the normalized eigenfunctions of the unperturbed harmonic oscillator on $\mathbb{R}$. It is well-known that

$$\psi_n^0(x) = (n!\sqrt{\pi})^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_n(\sqrt{2}x) = (2^n n!\sqrt{\pi})^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_n(x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\,, \quad n\geqslant 0\,,$$

where $H_n(x)$ are the Hermite polynomials. For each $n\geqslant 0$ we consider the second solution

$$\chi_n^0(x) = \Big(\frac{n!\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\Big)^{1/2} \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \operatorname{Im} D_{-n-1}(i\sqrt{2}x), & n \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \operatorname{Re} D_{-n-1}(i\sqrt{2}x), & n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

of the equation $-y''+x^2y=\lambda_n^0 y$, which is uniquely defined by the conditions

$$\{\chi_n^0\,,\psi_n^0\}=1\,, \qquad (\psi_n^0\chi_n^0)(-x)=-(\psi_n^0\chi_n^0)(x)\,, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}\,.$$

Note that $(\psi_n^0\chi_n^0)(x) \sim (-1)^{n+1}x$ as $x\to 0$, and $(\psi_n^0\chi_n^0)(x)\sim -x^{-1}$ as $x\to\infty$.

Let $s_{2m}(q)=s_{2m}(q,0)$, $m\geqslant 0$. Define the modified norming constants $\widetilde{s}_n(q)$ by

$$s_n(q) = s_n^0 + \alpha_n\mu_n(q) + \widetilde{s}_n(q)\,, \quad n\geqslant 0 \tag{3.1}$$

(recall that $s_n^0=s_n(0)$, $\alpha_{2m+1}=-(\dot{\psi}_+^0)'/(\psi_+^0)'\,(0,\lambda_{2m+1}^0)$ and $\alpha_{2m}=-\dot{\psi}_+^0/\psi_+^0\,(0,\lambda_{2m}^0)$, $m\geqslant 0$).

**Theorem 3.1.** *For each potential $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$ the following asymptotics are fulfilled*[6]*:*

$$\mu_n(q) = 2\hat{q}_n^+ + \ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n)\,, \qquad \widetilde{s}_n(q) = \check{q}_n^+ + \ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n)\,, \tag{3.2}$$

*for some absolute constant $\delta>0$, uniformly on bounded subsets of $\mathbf{H}_+$, where*

$$\hat{q}_n^+ = (q,(\psi_n^0)^2)_+\,, \qquad \check{q}_n^+ = (q,\psi_n^0\chi_n^0)_+\,.$$

*Remark.* i) This result does not cover the general boundary condition $y'(0)=by(0)$, $b\neq 0$.
ii) Using Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 4.2, it is easy to see that $\{\hat{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty\in\mathcal{H}$, $\{\check{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty\in\mathcal{H}_0$ for each $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$. Then, Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.3 (i) yield

$$\hat{q}_n^+ = \pi^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} q(t)dt\cdot(\lambda_n^0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + O(n^{-\frac{3}{4}}\log^{\frac{1}{2}} n)\,, \qquad \check{q}_n^+ = O(n^{-\frac{3}{4}}\log^{\frac{1}{2}} n)\,, \quad n\to\infty\,.$$

*Proof.* Let $\mu_n=\mu_n(q)$. We suppose that $n$ is odd, the other case is similar. Repeating arguments of [CKK1] Lemma 4.2 and using Rouché's Theorem, we obtain $\mu_n(q)=O(n^{-1/2})$. Note that the value $\mu_n$ is the solution of the equation $\psi_+(0,\lambda_n^0+\mu_n,q)=0$. Due to estimates from Corollary A.3 and asymptotics (A.15), we have

$$0 = \frac{\psi_+(0,\lambda_n^0+\mu_n,q)}{\dot{\kappa}_n} = \frac{\psi_+^{(1)}(0,\lambda_n^0,q)+\dot{\kappa}_n\cdot\mu_n}{\dot{\kappa}_n} + O(n^{-1}\log^2 n).$$

---

[6] Here and below $a_n=b_n+\ell_r^2(n)$ means that $\{a_n-b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty\in\ell_r^2$. We say that $a_n(q)=b_n(q)+\ell_r^2(n)$ holds true uniformly on some set iff norms $\|\{a_n(q)-b_n(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty\|_{\ell_r^2}$ are uniformly bounded on this set.

Hence, Lemma A.6 (ii) gives $\mu_n = 2\hat{q}_n^+ + O(n^{-1}\log^2 n)$. Let $\mu_n^{(1)} = 2\hat{q}_n^+$. Using the similar arguments, we obtain

$$0 = \frac{1}{\dot\kappa_n}\Big(\psi_+^{(1)} + \psi_+^{(2)} + \dot\psi_+^0 \cdot \mu_n + \dot\psi_+^{(1)} \cdot \mu_n^{(1)} + \frac{\ddot\psi_+^0}{2}(\mu_n^{(1)})^2\Big)(0,\lambda_n^0,q) + O(n^{-\frac32}\log^3 n).$$

Together with Lemma A.6 (ii), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mu_n}{2} &= \hat{q}_n^+ - \check{q}_n^+\hat{q}_n^+ + \Big(\frac{\dot\kappa_n'}{\kappa_n'}\,\hat{q}_n^+ + \frac12\,\check{q}_n^+\Big)\cdot 2\hat{q}_n^+ - \frac{\ddot\kappa_n}{\kappa_n}(\hat{q}_n^+)^2 + \ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n)\\ &= \hat{q}_n^+ + \Big(\frac{2\dot\kappa_n'}{\kappa_n'} - \frac{\ddot\kappa_n}{\kappa_n}\Big)\cdot(\hat{q}_n^+)^2 + \ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n) = \hat{q}_n^+ + \ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (A.16).

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}e^{s_n^0 - s_n(q)} = \frac{\psi_+'(0,\lambda_n(q),q)}{\kappa_n'} &= 1 - \check{q}_n^+ + \frac{\dot\kappa_n'}{\kappa_n'}\cdot\mu_n + \frac12(\check{q}_n^+)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{8}(\hat{q}_n^+)^2\\ &+ \Big(-\frac{\dot\kappa_n'}{\kappa_n'}\,\check{q}_n^+ + \frac{\pi^2}{8}\,\hat{q}_n^+\Big)\cdot\mu_n^{(1)} + \frac{\ddot\kappa_n'}{2\kappa_n'}(\mu_n^{(1)})^2 + \ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}s_n^0 - s_n(q) &= -\check{q}_n^+ + \frac{\dot\kappa_n'}{\kappa_n'}\cdot\mu_n + \Big(\frac{\ddot\kappa_n'}{\kappa_n'} - \frac{(\dot\kappa_n')^2}{(\kappa_n')^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{16}\Big)\cdot\frac{(\mu_n^{(1)})^2}{2} + \ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n)\\ &= -\check{q}_n^+ + \frac{\dot\kappa_n'}{\kappa_n'}\cdot\mu_n + \ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n),\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (A.16). This yields the second part of (3.2) in view of (3.1). $\square$

Below we need some special representation of the sequences $\{\hat{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{\check{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty$. Define the functions

$$\widetilde{\psi}_n^0(x) = 2^{1/4}\psi_n^0(\sqrt{2}x)\,, \quad n\geqslant 0\,.$$

Note that the system of functions $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{2m}^0\}_{m=0}^\infty$ is the orthogonal basis of $\mathbf{H}_{even}$, i.e. the orthogonal basis of the space $\mathbf{H}_+$, while the system $\{\widetilde{\psi}_{2m+1}^0\}_{m=0}^\infty$ is the orthogonal basis of its subspace $\mathbf{H}_+^0 = \{q\in\mathbf{H}_+ : q(0)=0\} \subsetneq \mathbf{H}_+$.

Following [CKK2], for each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ we define two (analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$) functions

$$\begin{aligned}(F^+q)(z) &\equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/4}}\sum_{k\geqslant 0}\sqrt{E_k}(q,\widetilde{\psi}_{2k}^0)_+\cdot z^k\,, \quad z\in\mathbb{D}\,,\\ (G^+q)(z) &\equiv -\frac{(2\pi)^{1/4}}{2}\sum_{k\geqslant 0}\frac{(q,\widetilde{\psi}_{2k+1}^0)_+}{\sqrt{(2k+1)E_k}}\,z^k\,, \quad z\in\mathbb{D}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$E_k = \frac{(2k)!}{2^{2k}(k!)^2} \sim \pi^{-\frac12}k^{-\frac12} \quad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty\,.$$

Note that $F^+q\,, G^+q\in H^2_{3/4}$ for each $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$. Moreover, the mapping $q\mapsto F^+q$ is a linear isomorphism between $\mathbf{H}_+$ and $H^2_{3/4}$, while the mapping $q\mapsto G^+q$ is a linear isomorphism between $\mathbf{H}^0_+$ and $H^2_{3/4}$. Recall that $\hat{q}^+_n=(q,(\psi^0_n)^2)_+$ and $\check{q}^+_n=(q,\psi^0_n\chi^0_n)_+\,,\ n\geqslant 0$.

**Proposition 3.2.** *For each* $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$ *the following identities*[7] *are fulfilled:*

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\hat{q}^+_n z^n\equiv\frac{(F^+q)(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}}\,,\qquad \sum_{n\geqslant 0}\check{q}^+_n z^n\equiv P_+\Big[\frac{(G^+q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big]\,,\qquad z\in\mathbb{D}\,, \tag{3.4}$$

$$(F^+q)(1)=(2\pi)^{-\frac12}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}q(t)dt,\qquad (F^+q)(-1)=2^{-\frac32}q(0)\,. \tag{3.5}$$

*Remark.* Here and below we put $(P_+f)(z)\equiv\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{|\zeta|=1}\frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{\zeta-z}$ for any $f\in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $z\in\mathbb{D}$. In particular, the identity $(P_+\sum_{n=-k}^{k}c_n\zeta^n)(z)\equiv\sum_{n=0}^{k}c_nz^n$ holds true for any $c_n\in\mathbb{C}$.

*Proof.* Identities (3.4) were proved in [CKK2] (Propositions 1.2 and 2.9). Also, in [CKK2] it was shown that

$$1=\sum_{k\geqslant 0}\widetilde{\psi}^0_{2k}(x)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\widetilde{\psi}^0_{2k}(t)dt=(2\pi)^{\frac14}\sum_{k\geqslant 0}\sqrt{E_k}\,\widetilde{\psi}^0_{2k}(x)\,,\quad x\in\mathbb{R}\,,$$

in the sense of distributions, which gives $(F^+q)(1)=(2\pi)^{-\frac12}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}q(t)dt$. Furthermore,

$$\delta(x)=\sum_{k\geqslant 0}\widetilde{\psi}^0_{2k}(x)\cdot\widetilde{\psi}^0_{2k}(0)=\sum_{k\geqslant 0}\widetilde{\psi}^0_{2k}(x)\cdot\frac{2^{1/4}H_{2k}(0)}{(\sqrt{\pi}\,2^{2k}(2k)!)^{1/2}}=\Big(\frac{2}{\pi}\Big)^{1/4}\sum_{k\geqslant 0}(-1)^k\sqrt{E_k}\,\widetilde{\psi}^0_{2k}(x)$$

in the sense of distributions. Together with (3.3), this implies $(F^+q)(-1)=2^{-\frac12}\cdot\frac{q(0)}{2}$. ☐

Emphasize that definition (2.1) of the space $\mathcal{H}$ is directly motivated by Eq. (3.4). The following Proposition gives the basic properties of $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}_0$ (see [CKK2] Lemmas 2.10, 2.11).

**Proposition 3.3.** *(i) For each* $\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\mathcal{H}$ *there is a unique decomposition* $h_n=\frac{v}{\sqrt{\lambda^0_n}}+h^{(0)}_n$, *where* $v=(\pi/2)^{-\frac12}\sqrt{1-z}\,\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}h_nz^n|_{z=1}$ *and* $\{h^{(0)}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\mathcal{H}_0$. *The mapping* $h\mapsto(v,h^{(0)})$ *is a linear isomorphism between* $\mathcal{H}$ *and* $\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{H}_0$. *If* $h=\{\hat{q}^+_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, *then* $v=\pi^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}q(t)dt$.
*(ii) The set of finite sequences* $\{(h_0,\ldots,h_k,0,0,\ldots),\ k\geqslant 0,\ h_j\in\mathbb{R}\}$ *is dense in* $\mathcal{H}_0$.
*(iii) The embeddings* $\ell^2_{3/4}\subset\mathcal{H}_0\subset\ell^2_{1/4}$ *are fulfilled.*

*Remark.* Since $\mathcal{H}_0\subset\ell^2_{1/4}$, the sequence of leading terms $\{v/\sqrt{\lambda^0_n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ doesn't belong to $\mathcal{H}_0$.

The next Lemma gives the $O$-type estimate for elements of $\mathcal{H}_0$.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let* $h=\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\mathcal{H}_0$. *Then* $h_n=O(n^{-\frac34}\log^{\frac12}n)$ *as* $n\to\infty$.

---

[7] We write $f(z)\equiv g(z)$ iff the identity $f(z)=g(z)$ holds true for all $z\in\mathbb{D}$.

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [Ch]. Definition (2.3) of $\mathcal{H}_0$ yields

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_n z^n \equiv \frac{\sum_{k\geqslant 0} f_k z^k}{\sqrt{1-z}}, \quad \{f_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}\in \ell^2_{3/4}, \quad \sum_{k\geqslant 0} f_k = f(1) = 0 .$$

Recall that $(1-z)^{-\frac12}\equiv\sum_{m\geqslant 0} E_m z^m$ . Hence,

$$h_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} E_{n-k} f_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (E_{n-k}-E_n) f_k - E_n \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} f_k .$$

It is easy to see that $E_n = O(n^{-\frac12})$ and $E_{n-k}-E_n = O(kn^{-1}(n-k+1)^{-\frac12})$ . Therefore,

$$\Big| E_n \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} f_k \Big| \leqslant E_n \Big( \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} k^{-\frac32} \Big)^{1/2} \Big( \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} k^{\frac32} |f_k|^2 \Big)^{1/2} = O(n^{-\frac34}) ,$$

$$\Big| \sum_{k=1}^{n} (E_{n-k}-E_n) f_k \Big| \leqslant \Big( \sum_{k=1}^{n} O\Big( \frac{k^{\frac12}}{n^2(n-k+1)} \Big) \Big)^{1/2} \Big( \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{\frac32} |f_k|^2 \Big)^{1/2} = O(n^{-\frac34} \log^{\frac12} n) ,$$

where the inequality $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{\frac32} |f_k|^2 < +\infty$ has been used. ☐

In order to prove Theorem 2.2 we need

**Lemma 3.5.** *(i) Let* $h=\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\mathcal{H}$ *. Then* $h_N=\{h_{2n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *,* $h_D=\{h_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\mathcal{H}$ *and* $\triangle h=\{h_{2n}-h_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\ell^2_{3/4}$ *.*
*(ii) Both mappings* $h\mapsto(h_N\,,\triangle h)$*,* $h\mapsto(h_D\,,\triangle h)$ *are isomorphisms between* $\mathcal{H}$ *and* $\mathcal{H}\times\ell^2_{3/4}$ *.*

*Proof.* (i) For $z\in\mathbb{D}$ denote $h(z)\equiv\sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_n z^n$ , $h_N(z)\equiv\sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_{2n} z^n$ and so on. By definition of $\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$h_N(z^2) + z h_D(z^2) \equiv h(z) \equiv \frac{f(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}}, \quad f\in H^2_{3/4} .$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} h_N(z^2) &\equiv \frac{f_N(z^2)}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}, \quad \text{where} \quad f_N(z^2) \equiv \frac{f(z)\sqrt{1+z}+f(-z)\sqrt{1-z}}{2}, \\ h_D(z^2) &\equiv \frac{f_D(z^2)}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}, \quad \text{where} \quad f_D(z^2) \equiv \frac{f(z)\sqrt{1+z}-f(-z)\sqrt{1-z}}{2z}, \\ (\triangle h)(z^2) &\equiv h_N(z^2) - h_D(z^2) \equiv \frac{-f(z)\sqrt{1-z}+f(-z)\sqrt{1+z}}{2z} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Due to $\sqrt{1\pm z}\in H^2_{3/4}$ , we obtain $f_N\,, f_D\,, \triangle h\in H^2_{3/4}$ , and hence $h_N\,, h_D\in\mathcal{H}$ .
(ii) By definition of $\mathcal{H}$, the mappings $h\mapsto f$ , $h_N\mapsto f_N$ and $h_D\mapsto f_D$ are isomorphisms between $\mathcal{H}$ and $H^2_{3/4}$ . We show that both mappings $f\mapsto(f_N\,,\triangle h)$ , $f\mapsto(f_D\,,\triangle h)$ are isomorphisms between $H^2_{3/4}$ and $H^2_{3/4}\times H^2_{3/4}$ . Indeed, due to (3.6), the direct mappings are bounded. On the other hand, identities

$$f(z)\equiv f_D(z^2)\sqrt{1+z}+\triangle h(z^2)\sqrt{1-z}\equiv f_N(z^2)\sqrt{1+z}-\triangle h(z^2) z\sqrt{1-z}$$

follow that the inverse mappings are bounded too. ☐

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** Theorem 2.1 and the second part of Lemma 3.5 give that both mappings $q\mapsto(\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty},\{\tau_n(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty})$ and $q\mapsto(\{\mu_{2n}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty},\{\tau_n(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty})$ are real-analytic isomorphisms. We show that $q(0)=2\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\tau_n(q)$. Proposition 3.2 yields

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}(\hat{q}^+_{2n}-\hat{q}^+_{2n+1})=\frac{(F^+q)(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}}\Big|_{z=-1}=\frac{q(0)}{4}\,. \tag{3.7}$$

Hence, it is sufficient to check that

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}(\mu_{2n}(q)-2\hat{q}^+_{2n})=0\,,\qquad \sum_{n\geqslant 0}(\mu_{2n+1}(q)-2\hat{q}^+_{2n+1})=0 \tag{3.8}$$

(note that these series converge due to Theorem 3.1). Below we prove the first identity, the proof of the second is similar.

Put $q(-x)=q(x)$, $x\geqslant 0$, and consider the harmonic oscillator on $\mathbb{R}$ perturbed by the potential $q(x)$. The perturbation theory (see e.g. [Ka]) yields[8]

$$\frac{\partial\lambda_{2n}(q)}{\partial q(x)}=\psi^2_{2n}(x,q)\,,\qquad \frac{\partial\psi_{2n}(x,q)}{\partial q(y)}=\psi_{2n}(y,q)\sum_{m:m\neq 2n}\frac{\psi_m(y,q)\psi_m(x,q)}{\lambda_{2n}(q)-\lambda_m(q)}\,,$$

where $\psi_m(t,q)$, $m\geqslant 0$, are the normalized eigenfunctions of the operator $T$.

Therefore,

$$\mu_{2n}(q)-2\hat{q}^+_{2n}=\int_0^1\big(q,\psi^2_{2n}(sq)\big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\,ds\;-\;\hat{q}_{2n}=\int_0^1\,\big(q,\psi^2_{2n}(sq)-(\psi^0_{2n})^2\big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\,ds$$

and

$$\big(q(x),\psi^2_{2n}(x,sq)-(\psi^0_{2n})^2(x)\big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\,,\,dx)}=\int_0^s\Big(q(x)\,,\frac{d}{dt}\,\psi^2_{2n}(x,tq)\Big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\,,\,dx)}dt$$

$$=\int_0^s\Big(q(x)\,,\,2\psi_{2n}(x,tq)\cdot\Big(q(y)\,,\psi_{2n}(y,tq)\sum_{m\neq 2n}\frac{\psi_m(y,tq)\psi_m(x,tq)}{\lambda_{2n}(tq)-\lambda_m(tq)}\Big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\,,\,dy)}\Big)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}\,,\,dx)}dt\,.$$

Since $(q,(\psi_{2n}\psi_{2m+1})(\cdot,tq))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}=0$ for any $n,m\geqslant 0$, we have

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}(\mu_{2n}(q)-2\hat{q}^+_{2n})=2\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\sum_{m:m\neq n}\frac{(q,(\psi_{2n}\psi_{2m})(tq))^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\lambda_{2n}(tq)-\lambda_{2m}(tq)}\,dt\,.$$

Let

$$S_k=\sum_{n=0}^{k}\sum_{m:m\neq n}\frac{(q,(\psi_{2n}\psi_{2m})(tq))^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\lambda_{2n}(tq)-\lambda_{2m}(tq)}=\sum_{n=0}^{k}\sum_{m=k+1}^{+\infty}\frac{(q,(\psi_{2n}\psi_{2m})(tq))^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\lambda_{2n}(tq)-\lambda_{2m}(tq)}$$

Using Lemma A.7 and the estimate $|\lambda_{2n}(tq)-\lambda_{2m}(tq)|\geqslant c(m-n)$ for some $c>0$ and $m>n\geqslant 0$, we obtain $S_k\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$, which yields $\sum_{n\geqslant 0}(\mu_{2n}(q)-2\hat{q}^+_{2n})=0$. ☐

---

[8] Here and below $\partial\xi(q)/\partial q=\zeta(q)$ means that for any $v\in L^2$ the equation $(d_q\xi)(v)=(v,\overline{\zeta})_{L^2}$ holds true.

# 4 Inverse problem for the operator $T_D$

We begin with the connection between two functions $F^+q$ and $G^+q$ (given by (3.3)) for fixed $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$. Recall that the system of functions $\{\widetilde\psi^0_{2k}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a basis of $\mathbf{H}_+$. Therefore, it is possible to rewrite$G^+q$ in terms of $F^+q$. For $\zeta\in\mathbb{T}$, $\zeta\neq-1$, we put $\sqrt{\zeta}=\sqrt{e^{i\phi}}=e^{\frac{i\phi}{2}}$, where $\zeta=e^{i\phi}$, $\phi\in(-\pi,\pi)$. We have the following identity in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta}}=\frac{2}{\pi}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{(-1)^l}{2l+1}\,\zeta^l\,,\quad \zeta\in\mathbb{T}\,. \tag{4.1}$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *For each $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$ the following identity is fulfilled:*

$$(G^+q)(z)\equiv-\frac{\pi}{2}P_+\Big(\frac{(F^+q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{\zeta}}\Big),\quad z\in\mathbb{D}\,. \tag{4.2}$$

*Proof.* We determine the coefficients of the function $\psi^0_{2m+1}$ with respect to the basis $\{\psi^0_{2k}\}_{k=0}^\infty$. The standard identity $\{\psi^0_{2k}\,,\psi^0_{2m+1}\}'=(\lambda^0_{2k}-\lambda^0_{2m+1})\psi^0_{2k}\psi^0_{2m+1}$, yields

$$(\psi^0_{2m+1}\,,\psi^0_{2k})_+=\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\psi^0_{2m+1}(x)\psi^0_{2k}(x)dx=\frac{\{\psi^0_{2k}\,,\psi^0_{2m+1}\}(0)}{\lambda^0_{2m+1}-\lambda^0_{2k}}=\frac{\psi^0_{2k}(0)(\psi^0_{2m+1})'(0)}{2(2(m-k)+1)}\,.$$

Note that

$$\psi^0_{2k}(0)=\frac{H_{2k}(0)}{(\sqrt{\pi}\,2^{2k}(2k)!)^{1/2}}=\frac{(-1)^k2^k(2k-1)!!}{(\sqrt{\pi}\,2^{2k}(2k)!)^{1/2}}=\frac{(-1)^k}{\pi^{1/4}}\sqrt{E_k}\,,$$

$$(\psi^0_{2m+1})'(0)=\frac{H'_{2m+1}(0)}{(\sqrt{\pi}\,2^{2m+1}(2m+1)!)^{1/2}}=\frac{(-1)^m2^{m+1}(2m+1)!!}{(\sqrt{\pi}\,2^{2m+1}(2m+1)!)^{1/2}}=\frac{(-1)^m\sqrt{2}}{\pi^{1/4}}\sqrt{(2m+1)E_m}\,.$$

Therefore,

$$(\widetilde\psi^0_{2m+1}\,,\widetilde\psi^0_{2k})_+=(\psi^0_{2m+1}\,,\psi^0_{2k})_+=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\cdot\frac{(-1)^{m-k}}{2(m-k)+1}\sqrt{(2m+1)E_mE_k}\,.$$

Since $\|\widetilde\psi^0_{2k}\|^2_+=\frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\frac{\widetilde\psi^0_{2m+1}}{\sqrt{(2m+1)E_m}}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\frac{(-1)^{m-k}}{2(m-k)+1}\cdot\sqrt{E_k}\,\widetilde\psi^0_{2k}\,.$$

It gives

$$P_+\Big(\frac{(F^+q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{\zeta}}\Big)\equiv\frac{2^{3/4}}{\pi^{5/4}}\,P_+\Big(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{(-1)^l}{2l+1}\,\zeta^l\cdot\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\sqrt{E_k}(q,\widetilde\psi^0_{2k})_+\zeta^k\Big)$$

$$\equiv\frac{2^{1/4}}{\pi^{3/4}}\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty}\frac{(q,\widetilde\psi^0_{2m+1})_+}{\sqrt{(2m+1)E_m}}\,z^m\equiv-\frac{2}{\pi}\,(G^+q)(z)\,,\quad z\in\mathbb{D}\,,$$

where definition (3.3) of the functions $F^+q$ and $G^+q$ has been used. □

We should mention that Lemma 4.1 gives the simple proof of [CKK2] Theorem 4.2 about the equivalent definition of $\mathcal{H}_0$. Following [CKK2], we introduce the linear operator

$$(\mathcal{A}f)(z) \equiv P_+\Big[\frac{f(\zeta)}{\sqrt{-\zeta}}\Big] \equiv P_+\Big[\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}\cdot\frac{f(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}}\Big], \qquad f\in L^1(\mathbb{T}). \tag{4.3}$$

Let

$$\overset{\circ}{H}{}^2_{3/4} = \{f\in H^2_{3/4} : f(1)=0\} \subset H^2_{3/4}.$$

**Corollary 4.2.** *(i) The operator* $\mathcal{A} : \overset{\circ}{H}{}^2_{3/4} \to H^2_{3/4}$ *and its inverse are bounded.*
*(ii) The following equality is fulfilled:*

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \Big\{\{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : \sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_n z^n \equiv P_+\Big[\frac{g(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big],\ g\in H^2_{3/4}\Big\}. \tag{4.4}$$

*The norms* $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ *and* $\|g\|_{H^2_{3/4}}$ *are equivalent, i.e.* $C_1\|g\|_{H^2_{3/4}} \leqslant \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leqslant C_2\|g\|_{H^2_{3/4}}$ *for any* $g\in H^2_{3/4}$ *and some absolute constants* $C_1, C_2 > 0$.

*Remark.* This equivalence was proved in [CKK2] using different and complicated arguments.

*Proof.* (i) Recall that the mapping $q\mapsto (G^+q)(-z)$ is a linear isomorphism between $\mathbf{H}^0_+$ and $H^2_{3/4}$. Also, due to the identity $(F^+q)(-1)=2^{-3/2}q(0)$ (see Proposition 3.2), the mapping $q\mapsto (F^+q)(-z)$ is a linear isomorphism between $\mathbf{H}^0_+$ and $\overset{\circ}{H}{}^2_{3/4}$. Therefore, the mapping

$$f(z)\equiv (F^+q)(-z) \mapsto q \mapsto (G^+q)(-z) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2}\,P_+\Big[\frac{(F^+q)(-\zeta)}{\sqrt{\zeta}}\Big] \equiv \mathcal{A}f(z)$$

is a linear isomorphism between $\overset{\circ}{H}{}^2_{3/4}$, $\mathbf{H}^0_+$ and $H^2_{3/4}$ respectively.
(ii) If $g\in H^2_{3/4}$, then $g_0(z)\equiv g(z)-g(1)\in \overset{\circ}{H}{}^2_{3/4}$ and so $|g_0(\zeta)|\leqslant C|\zeta-1|^{1/4}$, $|\zeta|=1$, for some constant $C>0$. Hence, the following equivalence is valid:

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_n z^n \equiv P_+\Big(\frac{g(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big) \quad\Leftrightarrow\quad \sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_n z^n \equiv g(1) + \frac{g_0(z)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{z}}} - P_-\Big(\frac{g_0(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow\quad P_+\Big(\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}\sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_n\zeta^n\Big) \equiv g(1)+g_0(z)\equiv g(z),$$

where $P_-f\equiv f-P_+f$ is the projector to the subspace of antianalytic functions in $\mathbb{D}$. Therefore, the equation

$$\frac{f(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}} \equiv \sum_{n\geqslant 0} h_n z^n \equiv P_+\Big[\frac{g(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big], \quad \text{where} \quad f\in \overset{\circ}{H}{}^2_{3/4},\ \ g\in H^2_{3/4},$$

is equivalent to $g(z)\equiv(\mathcal{A}f)(z)$. Then (i) follows (4.4). ☐

Consider the linear terms in asymptotics (3.2). Due to Lemma 3.5, the identities

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0} \hat{q}^{+}_{2n} z^n \equiv \frac{(F_N q)(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}}\,, \qquad \text{where} \quad (F_N q)(z^2) \equiv \frac{(F^+q)(z)\sqrt{1+z} + (F^+q)(-z)\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\,,$$

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0} \hat{q}^{+}_{2n+1} z^n \equiv \frac{(F_D q)(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}}\,, \quad \text{where} \quad (F_D q)(z^2) \equiv \frac{(F^+q)(z)\sqrt{1+z} - (F^+q)(-z)\sqrt{1-z}}{2z}$$

hold true. Note that

$$(F^+q)(z) \equiv \frac{(F_N q)(z^2)+z(F_D q)(z^2)}{\sqrt{1+z}}\,, \quad z\in\mathbb{D}\,. \tag{4.5}$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *For each* $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$ *there exists functions* $G_N q\,, G_D q \in H^2_{3/4}$ *such that the following identities are fulfilled:*

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0} \check{q}^{+}_{2n} z^n \equiv P_+\Big[\frac{(G_N q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big]\,, \qquad \sum_{n\geqslant 0} \check{q}^{+}_{2n+1} z^n \equiv P_+\Big[\frac{(G_D q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big]\,.$$

*Moreover,*

$$(G_N q)(z) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2}\,(F_D q)(z)\,, \quad (G_D q)(z) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2}\,(S_* F_N q)(z)\,, \quad z\in\mathbb{D}\,,$$

*where* $(S_*F)(z) \equiv P_+[\,\overline{\zeta}\cdot F(\zeta)]$ *is the "left-shift" operator.*

*Proof.* Define the function

$$(G_N q)(z) \equiv P_+\Big[\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}\sum_{n\geqslant 0} \check{q}^{+}_{2n}\zeta^n\Big] \qquad z\in\mathbb{D}.$$

It gives

$$P_+\Big[\frac{(G_N q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big] \equiv P_+\Big[\sum_{n\geqslant 0} \check{q}^{+}_{2n}\zeta^n - \frac{P_-[\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}\sum_{n\geqslant 0} \check{q}^{+}_{2n}\zeta^n]}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big] \equiv \sum_{n\geqslant 0} \check{q}^{+}_{2n} z^n\,.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0} \check{q}^{+}_{2n} z^{2n} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\Big(P_+\Big[\frac{(G^+q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big] + P_+\Big[\frac{(G^+q)(-\zeta)}{\sqrt{1+\overline{\zeta}}}\Big]\Big).$$

Therefore,

$$(G_N q)(z^2) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\,P_+\Big[\sqrt{1+\overline{\zeta}}\cdot(G^+q)(\zeta) + \sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}\cdot(G^+q)(-\zeta)\Big]\,.$$

Lemma 4.1 yields

$$(G_N q)(z^2) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{4}\,P_+\Big[\frac{\sqrt{1+\overline{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{\zeta}}\cdot(F^+q)(\zeta) + \frac{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{-\zeta}}\cdot(F^+q)(-\zeta)\Big]\,.$$

Due to (4.5), we obtain

$$(G_Nq)(z^2) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{4}\,P_+\biggl[\Bigl(\frac{\sqrt{1+\overline{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{\zeta}\sqrt{1+\zeta}}+\frac{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{-\zeta}\sqrt{1-\zeta}}\Bigr)(F_Nq)(\zeta^2)$$

$$+\Bigl(\frac{\zeta\sqrt{1+\overline{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{\zeta}\sqrt{1+\zeta}}-\frac{\zeta\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{-\zeta}\sqrt{1-\zeta}}\Bigr)(F_Dq)(\zeta^2)\biggr] \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2}\,(F_Dq)(z^2)\,,$$

where we have used the identities

$$\frac{\sqrt{1+\overline{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{\zeta}\sqrt{1+\zeta}}=\frac{1}{\zeta}\,,\quad \zeta\neq-1\,,\qquad\text{and}\qquad \frac{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{-\zeta}\sqrt{1-\zeta}}=-\frac{1}{\zeta}\,,\quad \zeta\neq1\,.$$

The proof of the identity $(G_Dq)(z)\equiv-\frac{\pi}{2}\,P_+\left[\,\overline{\zeta}\cdot(F_Nq)(\zeta)\right]$ is similar. ☐

**Proof of Theorem 2.3 (i).** Recall that Theorem 3.1 gives $\widetilde{s}_{2n+1}(q)=\overset{\vee}{q}{}^{+}_{2n+1}+\ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n)$, which yields

$$\sum_{n\geqslant0}\widetilde{s}_{2n+1}(q)z^n\equiv\sum_{n\geqslant0}\overset{\vee}{q}{}^{+}_{2n+1}z^n+\omega(z)\,,\qquad \omega\in H^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}\,,\quad \delta>0\,.$$

Lemma 4.3 implies

$$\sum_{n\geqslant0}\widetilde{s}_{2n+1}(q)z^n\equiv P_+\Bigl[\frac{(G_Dq)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Bigr]+\omega(z)\equiv-\frac{\pi}{2}\,P_+\Bigl[\frac{(F_Nq)(\zeta)}{\zeta\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Bigr]+\omega(z)\,.$$

Since $(F_Nq)(z)\equiv\sqrt{1-z}\sum_{m\geqslant0}\hat{q}^{+}_{2m}z^m$ and $\sqrt{1-\zeta}/\zeta\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}=-1/\sqrt{-\zeta}\,,\ \zeta\neq1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n\geqslant0}\widetilde{s}_{2n+1}(q)z^n\equiv\frac{\pi}{2}\,P_+\Bigl[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\zeta}}\sum_{m\geqslant0}\hat{q}^{+}_{2m}\zeta^m\Bigr]+\omega(z)\,. \tag{4.6}$$

Let

$$(\triangle Hq)(z)\equiv\sum_{m\geqslant0}(\hat{q}^{+}_{2m}-\hat{q}^{+}_{2m+1})z^m\,,\qquad z\in\mathbb{D}\,.$$

Note that Lemma 3.5 yields $\triangle Hq\in H^2_{3/4}$ and Theorem 3.1 gives

$$\sum_{m\geqslant0}\hat{q}^{+}_{2m}z^m\equiv(\triangle Hq)(z)+\sum_{m\geqslant0}\hat{q}^{+}_{2m+1}z^m\equiv(\triangle Hq)(z)+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\geqslant0}\mu_{2m+1}(q)z^m+\omega_1(z)\,,\qquad \omega_1\in H^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}\,.$$

Moreover, (3.8) yields $\omega_1\in\overset{\circ}{H}{}^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}$. Substituting the last identity into (4.6), we have

$$\sum_{n\geqslant0}\widetilde{s}_{2n+1}(q)z^n\equiv\frac{\pi}{4}\,P_+\Bigl[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\zeta}}\sum_{m\geqslant0}\mu_{2m+1}(q)\zeta^m\Bigr]+\frac{\pi}{2}\,P_+\Bigl[\frac{(\triangle Hq)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{-\zeta}}\Bigr]+\omega_2(z)\,,$$

where $\omega_2(z)=\omega(z)+\frac{\pi}{2}\,P_+\left[\omega_1(\zeta)/\sqrt{-\zeta}\,\right]\in H^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}$ since the operator $\mathcal{A}:\overset{\circ}{H}{}^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}\to H^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}$ given by (4.3) is bounded[9].

---

[9] The proof repeats the proof of [CKK2] Theorem 4.2 or Corollary 4.2 in the present paper.

Furthermore, denote values $\widetilde{q}_n^{\,+}$ by

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\widetilde{q}_n^{\,+}z^n\equiv\frac{\pi}{2}\,P_+\Big[\frac{(\triangle Hq)(\zeta)-(\triangle Hq)(1)}{\sqrt{-\zeta}}\Big]\equiv\frac{\pi}{2}\,P_+\Big[\frac{(\triangle Hq)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{-\zeta}}\Big]-\frac{\pi q(0)}{8}\,P_+\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\zeta}}\Big]\,, \qquad (4.7)$$

where we have used (3.7). Note that $\{\widetilde{q}_n^{\,+}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\ell^2_{3/4}$, since the operator $\mathcal{A}:\mathring{H}^2_{3/4}\to H^2_{3/4}$ is bounded (see Corollary 4.2). Summarizing, we obtain

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\widetilde{s}_{2n+1}(q)z^n\equiv\frac{\pi}{4}\,P_+\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\zeta}}\sum_{m\geqslant 0}\mu_{2m+1}(q)\zeta^m\Big]+\frac{\pi q(0)}{8}\,P_+\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\zeta}}\Big]+\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\widetilde{q}_n^{\,+}z^n+\omega_2(z)\,.$$

Since $1/\sqrt{-\zeta}=\frac{2}{\pi}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty}\zeta^l/(2l+1)$, it implies

$$\widetilde{s}_{2n+1}(q)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\geqslant 0}\frac{\mu_{2m+1}(q)}{2(n-m)+1}+\frac{q(0)}{4(2n+1)}+r_{2n+1}(q)\,,\qquad r_{2n+1}(q)=\widetilde{q}_n^{\,+}+\ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n)\,. \qquad (4.8)$$

In particular, $\{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\ell^2_{3/4}$. ☐

*Remark.* Due to definition of $F^+q$ and Lemma 3.5, the mappings $q\mapsto F^+q\mapsto(F_Dq\,,\triangle Hq)$ are linear isomorphisms between $\mathbf{H}_+$, $H^2_{3/4}$ and $H^2_{3/4}\times H^2_{3/4}$ respectively. Since the operator $\mathcal{A}:\mathring{H}^2_{3/4}\to H^2_{3/4}$ and its inverse are bounded (see Corollary 4.2) and due to definition (4.7) of $\widetilde{q}_n^{\,+}$, the mappings

$$\triangle Hq\mapsto((\triangle Hq)(0);\triangle Hq-(\triangle Hq)(0))\mapsto\Big((\triangle Hq)(0);\textstyle\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\widetilde{q}_n^{\,+}z^n\Big)\,,$$

are linear isomorphisms between $H^2_{3/4}$, $\mathbb{R}\times\mathring{H}^2_{3/4}$ and $\mathbb{R}\times H^2_{3/4}$. Therefore, using the identity $(\triangle Hq)(0)=\frac{1}{4}\,q(0)$, we obtain that the mappings

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} q & \mapsto & (F_Dq\,;\triangle Hq) & \mapsto & \Big(\{\hat{q}^{\,+}_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,;q(0)\,;\{\widetilde{q}_n^{\,+}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big)\,,\\ \mathbf{H}_+ & \leftrightarrow & H^2_{3/4}\times H^2_{3/4} & \leftrightarrow & \mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}\,, \end{array} \qquad (4.9)$$

are linear isomorphisms.

**Proof of Theorem 2.3 (ii).** Consider the mapping $\Psi:\mathbf{H}_+\to\mathcal{S}_D\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}$, given by

$$\Psi:q\mapsto\Big(\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,,q(0)\,,\{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big)\,,$$

which maps potential to spectral data. The proof given below consists of four steps: 1) $\Psi$ is injective; 2) $\Psi$ is analytic; 3) $\Psi$ is a local isomorphism; 4) $\Psi$ is surjective.

**1. Uniqueness Theorem.** The proof repeats the case of the whole real line [CKK1]. Let

$$(\{\mu_{2n+1}(p)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, p(0), \{r_{2n+1}(p)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}) = (\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, q(0), \{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}),$$

for some potentials $p, q \in \mathbf{H}_+$. Note that it gives

$$\lambda_{2n+1} = \lambda_{2n+1}(p) = \lambda_{2n+1}(q), \quad s_{2n+1} = s_{2n+1}(p) = s_{2n+1}(q), \quad n \geqslant 0.$$

Recall that $\varphi(x, \lambda, q)$ is the solution of Eq. (2.4) such that $\varphi(0, \lambda, q) = 0$, $\varphi'(0, \lambda, q) = 1$. Define functions

$$f_1(\lambda; x, q, p) = \frac{F_1(\lambda; x, q, p)}{w_D(\lambda, q)}, \quad F_1(\lambda; x, q, p) = \varphi(x, \lambda, p)\psi_+'(x, \lambda, q) - \psi_+(x, \lambda, p)\varphi'(x, \lambda, q),$$

$$f_2(\lambda; x, q, p) = \frac{F_2(\lambda; x, q, p)}{w_D(\lambda, q)}, \quad F_2(\lambda; x, q, p) = \varphi(x, \lambda, p)\psi_+(x, \lambda, q) - \psi_+(x, \lambda, p)\varphi(x, \lambda, q),$$

where

$$w_D(\lambda, q) = \{\varphi(\cdot, \lambda, q), \psi_+(\cdot, \lambda, q)\} = -\psi_+(0, \lambda, q).$$

Both functions $f_1$, $f_2$ are entire with respect to $\lambda$. Indeed, all roots $\lambda_{2n+1}(p) = \lambda_{2n+1}(q)$ of the denominator $w_D(\cdot, q)$ are simple and all these values are roots of the numerators $F_1$, $F_2$, since the definition of norming constants yields

$$\varphi(x, \lambda_{2n+1}, q) = e^{s_{2n+1}} \cdot \psi_+(x, \lambda_{2n+1}, q), \quad \varphi(x, \lambda_{2n+1}, p) = e^{s_{2n+1}} \cdot \psi_+(x, \lambda_{2n+1}, p).$$

Standard estimates (see Lemma A.2 and asymptotics (A.7)) of $\varphi$ and $\psi_+$ give

$$f_1(\lambda; x, p, q) = O(1), \quad f_2(\lambda; x, p, q) = O(|\lambda|^{-1/2}), \quad |\lambda| = \lambda^0_{2n'}, \ n' \to \infty.$$

Furthermore, the maximum principle and asymptotics of $f_1$ as $\lambda \to -\infty$ implies

$$f_1(\lambda; x, p, q) = 1, \quad f_2(\lambda; x, p, q) = 0, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This follows $\varphi(x, \lambda, p) = \varphi(x, \lambda, q)$ and $\psi_+(x, \lambda, p) = \psi_+(x, \lambda, q)$, i.e. $p = q$. ☐

**2. Analyticity of $\Psi$.** Recall that for some $\delta > 0$ the following asymptotics are fulfilled (see (3.2) and (4.8)):

$$\mu_{2n+1}(q) = 2\hat{q}^+_{2n+1} + \ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n), \qquad r_{2n+1}(q) = \tilde{q}^+_n + \ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n). \tag{4.10}$$

Let $\mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexification of the space $\mathbf{H}_+$. Due to Lemma A.4, for each real potential $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ all spectral data $\mu_{2n+1}(q)$, $s_{2n+1}(q)$, $n \geqslant 0$, extend analytically to some complex neighborhood $Q \subset \mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$ of $q$. Repeating the proof of (4.10) we obtain that these asymptotics hold true uniformly on bounded subsets of $Q$. Note that Lemma A.4 gives

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = \psi^2_{2n+1}(t, q), \qquad \frac{\partial s_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = (\psi_{2n+1}\chi_{2n+1})(t, q), \tag{4.11}$$

where $\psi_{2n+1}(\cdot, q)$ is the $n$-th normalized eigenfunction of $T_D$ and $\chi_{2n+1}(\cdot, q)$ is some special solution of Eq. (2.4) for $\lambda = \lambda_{2n+1}(q)$ such that $\{\chi_{2n+1}, \psi_{2n+1}\} = 1$. In particular,

$$(\psi_{2n+1}\chi_{2n+1})(t, q) \sim t, \quad t \to 0, \qquad (\psi_{2n+1}\chi_{2n+1})(t, q) \sim -t^{-1}, \quad t \to +\infty.$$

Let

$$\Psi=\Psi_0+\Psi_1\,,\quad \Psi_0: q\mapsto \Big(\{2\hat{q}^{+}_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,;q(0)\,;\{\tilde{q}^{+}_{n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big)\ ,\quad \Psi_1: q\mapsto \Psi q-\Psi_0 q\,.$$

As it was shown above (see (4.9)), the linear operator $\Psi_0$ is an isomorphism between $\mathbf{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}$ . In particular, $\Psi_0$ is a real-analytic mapping. Consider the second term

$$\Psi_1: q\mapsto \Big(\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)-2\hat{q}^{+}_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,;0\,;\{r_{2n+1}(q)-\tilde{q}^{+}_{n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big)\,,$$

$$\Psi_1:\mathbf{H}_+\to\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}\subset\mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{\frac34}\,.$$

Each "coordinate function" $\mu_{2n+1}(q)-2\hat{q}^{+}_{2n+1}$ , $r_{2n+1}(q)-\tilde{q}^{+}_{n}$ is analytic and the mapping $\Psi_1$ is correctly defined and bounded in some complex neighborhood of each real potential (since (4.10) holds true uniformly on bounded subsets). Then, $\Psi_1$ is a real-analytic mapping from $\mathbf{H}_+$ to $\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}$ . Hence, $\Psi=\Psi_0+\Psi_1$ is a real-analytic mapping. ☐

**3. Local Isomorphism.** Since $\Psi$ is analytic, the Fréchet derivative $d_q\Psi:\mathbf{H}_+\to\mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}$ is bounded for each $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$ . We need to show that $(d_q\Psi)^{-1}$ is bounded too. Note that the operator

$$d_q\Psi_1:\mathbf{H}_+\to\mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{\frac34}$$

is compact since it maps $\mathbf{H}_+$ into $\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}$ and the embedding $\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}\subset\ell^2_{\frac34}$ is compact. Therefore,

$$d_q\Psi=d_q\Psi_0+d_q\Psi_1=\Psi_0+d_q\Psi_1:\mathbf{H}_+\to\mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{\frac34}$$

is a Fredholm operator (due to (4.9), the inverse operator $\Psi_0^{-1}$ is bounded). By Fredholm's Theory, in order to prove that $(d_q\Psi)^{-1}$ is bounded, it is sufficient to check that the range $\operatorname{Ran} d_q\Psi$ is dense:

$$\mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}=\overline{\operatorname{Ran} d_q\Psi}\,. \tag{4.12}$$

Due to Lemma A.5 (i), for each $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$ the following standard identities are fulfilled:

$$\begin{gathered}\big((\psi^2_{2n+1})'(q),\psi^2_{2m+1}(q)\big)_+=0\,,\quad \big((\psi^2_{2n+1})'(q),(\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})(q)\big)_+=\tfrac12\,\delta_{mn}\,,\\ ((\psi_{2n+1}\chi_{2n+1})'(q),(\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})(q))_+=0\,,\quad n,m\geqslant 0.\end{gathered} \tag{4.13}$$

Using (4.11) and (4.13), we obtain

$$\Big(2(\psi^2_{2n+1})'(q)\,,\frac{\partial\mu_{2m+1}(q)}{\partial q}\Big)_+=0\,,\quad \Big(2(\psi^2_{2n+1})'(q)\,,\frac{\partial\tilde{s}_{2m+1}(q)}{\partial q}\Big)_+=\delta_{nm}\,.$$

Note that $(\psi^2_{2n+1})'(\cdot,q)\in\mathbf{H}_+$ and $(\psi^2_{2n+1})'(0,q)=0$ . By definition (2.6) of $r_{2m+1}(q)$ , we have

$$\Big(2(\psi^2_{2n+1})'(q)\,,\frac{\partial r_{2m+1}(q)}{\partial q}\Big)_+=\delta_{nm}\,.$$

Hence,

$$(d_q\Psi)\left(2(\psi_{2n+1}^2)'(\cdot,q)\right) = (\mathbf{0}\,;0\,;\mathbf{e}_n)\ ,$$

where $\mathbf{0}=(0,0,0,\ldots)$, $\mathbf{e}_0=(1,0,0,\ldots)$, $\mathbf{e}_1=(0,1,0,\ldots)$ and so on. Therefore,

$$\{(\mathbf{0};0)\}\times\ell^2_{3/4} = \{(\mathbf{0};0;\mathbf{c}) : \mathbf{c}\in\ell^2_{3/4}\} \subset \overline{\operatorname{Ran} d_q\Psi}\,. \tag{4.14}$$

We come to the second component of $(d_q\Psi)\xi$, i.e. to the value $\xi(0)$. We consider the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_0(q)$ of the operator $T_N$ (with the same potential $q$) and the function

$$\xi(t) = (\varphi\vartheta)'(t,\lambda_0(q),q)\,,\quad t\in\mathbb{R}\,.$$

Note that $\xi(0)=1$ and asymptotics (A.13), (A.14) give $\xi\in\mathbf{H}_+$ since $\vartheta(\cdot,\lambda_0(q),q)$ is proportional to $\psi_+(\cdot,\lambda_0(q),q)$. Moreover, since $\varphi(0,\lambda_0(q),q)=\psi_{2m+1}(0,q)=0$, we have

$$\Big(\xi\,,\frac{\partial\mu_{2m+1}(q)}{\partial q}\Big)_+ = \big(\xi,\psi^2_{2m+1}(q)\big)_+ = \frac{-\{\psi_{2m+1}\,,\varphi\}\{\psi_{2m+1}\,,\vartheta\}(0,\lambda_0(q),q)}{2(\lambda_{2m+1}(q)-\lambda_0(q))} = 0\,.$$

Hence,

$$(d_q\Psi)\xi = (\mathbf{0}\,;1\,;(d_qr)\xi)\ ,\quad\text{where}\quad (d_qr)\xi = \Big\{\Big(\xi\,,\frac{\partial r_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q}\Big)_+\Big\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\ell^2_{3/4}\,.$$

Together with (4.14) this gives

$$\{\mathbf{0}\}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}\subset\overline{\operatorname{Ran} d_q\Psi}\,.$$

Furthermore, we consider the functions $-2(\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})'(q)\in\mathbf{H}_+$ (see asymptotics (A.13), (A.14)). Identities (4.11), (4.13) and $(\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})'(0,q)=1$ give

$$(d_q\Psi)\left(-2(\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})'(q)\right) = \left(\mathbf{e}_m\,;-2\,;(d_qr)\left(-2(\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})'(q)\right)\right)\,.$$

Due to Proposition 3.3 (ii), the set of finite sequences is dense in $\mathcal{H}_0$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{H}_0\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}\subset\overline{\operatorname{Ran} d_q\Psi}\,. \tag{4.15}$$

In conclusion, we consider an arbitrary function $\zeta\in\mathbf{H}_+$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\zeta(t)dt\neq0$. Proposition 3.3 (i) follows

$$(d_q\Psi)\zeta\notin\mathcal{H}_0\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}\,.$$

Together with (4.15) it yields (4.12), since the codimension of $\mathcal{H}_0$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is equal to 1. □

**4. $\Psi$ is surjective.** We need

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$, $n\geqslant0$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Denote*

$$q^t_{2n+1}(x) = q(x) - 2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\eta^t_{2n+1}(x,q)\,,\quad \eta^t_{2n+1}(x,q) = 1+(e^t-1)\int_x^{+\infty}\psi^2_{2n+1}(s,q)ds\,.$$

*Then $q^t_{2n+1}\in\mathbf{H}_+$ and*

$$\lambda_{2m+1}(q^t_{2n+1}) = \lambda_{2m+1}(q)\,,\qquad s_{2m+1}(q^t_{2n+1}) = s_{2m+1}(q)+t\delta_{mn}$$

*for all $m\geqslant0$. Moreover, $q^t_{2n+1}(0)=q(0)$.*

*Remark.* By definition (2.6), we have $r_{2m+1}(q^t_n)=r_{2m+1}(q)+t\delta_{nm}$ for all $m\geqslant0$.

*Proof.* This Lemma is similar to [CKK2] Theorem 3.5 and can be proved by direct calculations using the so-called Darboux transform of second-order differential equation (see also [MT], [PT]). Note that

$$\eta^t_{2n+1}(x,q) = e^t - (e^t - 1)\int_0^x \psi^2_{2n+1}(s,q)ds = e^t + O(x^3)\,, \quad x \downarrow 0\,.$$

This implies $q^t_{2n+1}(0) = q(0)$. $\square$

We consider an arbitrary spectral data $(h^*; u^*; c^*) \in \mathcal{S}_D \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell^2_{3/4}$. Due to Theorem 2.2, there exists a potential $q^* \in \mathbf{H}_+$ such that

$$\mu_{2n+1}(q^*) = h^*_n\,, \quad n \geqslant 0\,, \quad \text{and} \quad q^*(0) = 2\textstyle\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\tau_n(q^*) = u^*\,.$$

It gives

$$(h^*; u^*; r(q^*)) \in \Psi(\mathbf{H}_+)\,, \quad \text{where} \quad r(q^*) = (r_1(q^*)\,, r_3(q^*)\,, \ldots)\,.$$

Due to Proposition 3.3 (ii), for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a finite sequence $t_\varepsilon = (t_1\,, \ldots\,, t_{2k-1}\,, 0\,, \ldots)$ such that

$$\|(c^* - r(q^*)) - t_\varepsilon\| = \|(c^* - t_\varepsilon) - r(q^*)\| < \varepsilon\,.$$

Since $\Psi$ is a local isomorphism, for some $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$(h^*; u^*; c^* - t_\varepsilon) = \big(h^*; u^*; (c^*_1 - t_1\,, \ldots, c^*_{2k-1} - t_{2k-1}\,, c^*_{2k+1}\,, c^*_{2k+3}\,, \ldots)\big) \in \Psi(\mathbf{H}_+)\,.$$

It means that $(h^*; u^*; c^* - t_\varepsilon) = \Psi(q_k)$ for some $q_k \in \mathbf{H}_+$. Using Lemma 4.4 step by step, we construct the sequence of potentials $q_j \in \mathbf{H}_+$ such that

$$q_{j-1} = (q_j)^{t_{2j-1}}_{2j-1}\,, \quad j = k, k-1, \ldots, 1\,,$$

$$\Psi(q_j) = \big(h^*; u^*; (c^*_1 - t_1\,, \ldots, c^*_{2j-1} - t_{2j-1}\,, c^*_{2j+1}\,, c^*_{2j+3}\,, \ldots)\big)\,.$$

Then, $\Psi(q_0) = (h^*; u^*; c^*)$. $\square$

## 5 Perturbed oscillator on $\mathbb{R}_+$, general case

In this Section we consider the family of self-adjoint operators $\{T_b\}_{b\in\mathbb{R}}$ given by

$$T_b y = -y'' + x^2 y + q(x)y\,, \quad y'(0) = by(0)\,, \quad q \in \mathbf{H}_+\,.$$

We begin with asymptotics of spectral data. Recall that

$$w_N(\lambda, q, b) = \psi'_+(0, \lambda, q) - b\psi_+(0, \lambda, q)\,, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\,.$$

Due to standard estimates of $\psi_+$, $\psi'_+$ (see Lemma A.2 and asymptotics (A.7)), we have

$$w_N(\lambda, q, b) = (\psi^0_+)'(0, \lambda) \cdot (1 + O(|\lambda|^{-1/2}))\,, \quad |\lambda| = \lambda^0_{2k+1}\,, \quad k \to \infty\,.$$

Repeating arguments of Lemma 4.2 [CKK1] and using Rouché's Theorem, we obtain

$$\lambda_{2n}(q,b)=\lambda_{2n}^0+\mu_{2n}(q,b)\,,\quad \mu_{2n}(q,b)=O(n^{-1/2})\,,\quad n\to\infty\,,$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of $(q,b)\in\mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R}$.

We define the modified norming constant $\widetilde{s}_{2n}(q,b)$ by

$$s_{2n}(q,b)=s_{2n}^0+\alpha_{2n}\mu_{2n}(q,b)+\widetilde{s}_{2n}(q,b)\,,\quad n\geqslant 0\,, \tag{5.1}$$

where $s_{2n}^0=s_{2n}(0,0)$ and $\alpha_{2n}=-\dot{\psi}_+^0/\psi_+^0\,(0,\lambda_{2n}^0)$.

**Theorem 5.1.** *For each $(q;b)\in\mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R}$ and some absolute constant $\delta>0$ the following asymptotics are fulfilled:*

$$\mu_{2n}(q,b)=2\overset{\wedge}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}+\frac{2E_n}{\sqrt{\pi}}\,b+\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n)\,,\qquad \widetilde{s}_{2n}(q)=\overset{\vee}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}+\frac{\pi E_n^2}{8}\,b^2+\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n)\,, \tag{5.2}$$

*uniformly on bounded subsets of $\mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R}$.*

*Remark.* Recall that $E_n=2^{-2n}(2n)!/(n!)^2=\pi^{-\frac12}n^{-\frac12}(1+O(n^{-1}))$, $n\to\infty$.

*Proof.* Let $\lambda_{2n}=\lambda_{2n}(q,b)$ and $\mu_{2n}=\mu_{2n}(q,b)$. We use arguments similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. Due to Corollary A.3, Lemma A.6 (i) and asymptotics (A.15), we have

$$\frac{\psi_+'(0,\lambda_{2n}^0+\mu_{2n}\,,q)}{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}'}=-2\overset{\wedge}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}+\mu_{2n}+O(n^{-1}\log^2 n)\,,\quad \frac{\psi_+(0,\lambda_{2n}^0+\mu_{2n}\,,q)}{\kappa_{2n}}=1+O(n^{-\frac12}\log n)\,.$$

Since $(\psi_+'-b\psi_+)(0,\lambda_{2n}^0+\mu_{2n}\,,q)=0$ and $\kappa_{2n}=O((\lambda_{2n}^0)^{-\frac12}\dot{\kappa}_{2n}')$ (see (A.15)), we obtain

$$\mu_{2n}=2\overset{\wedge}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}+\frac{\kappa_{2n}}{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}'}\,b+O(n^{-1}\log^2 n)=2\overset{\wedge}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}+\frac{2E_n}{\sqrt{\pi}}\,b+O(n^{-1}\log^2 n)\,. \tag{5.3}$$

Let $\mu_{2n}^{(1)}=2\overset{\wedge}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}+2\pi^{-\frac12}E_nb$. Using Lemma A.6 (i) again, we see that

$$\frac{\psi_+'(0,\lambda_{2n}^0+\mu_{2n}\,,q)}{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}'}=-2\overset{\wedge}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}+\mu_{2n}+2\overset{\vee}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}\overset{\wedge}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}-\Big(\frac{2\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}}\,\overset{\wedge}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}+\overset{\vee}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}\Big)\cdot\mu_{2n}^{(1)}+\frac{\ddot{\kappa}_{2n}'}{2\dot{\kappa}_{2n}'}\,(\mu_{2n}^{(1)})^2+\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n)$$

and

$$\frac{\psi_+(0,\lambda_{2n}^0+\mu_{2n}\,,q)}{\kappa_{2n}}=1-\overset{\vee}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}+\frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}}\,\mu_{2n}^{(1)}+O(n^{-1}\log^2 n)\,.$$

Substituting these asymptotics into the equation $(\psi_+'-b\psi_+)(0,\lambda_{2n}^0+\mu_{2n}\,,q)=0$, we obtain

$$\mu_{2n}=\mu_{2n}^{(1)}+\Big(\frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}}-\frac{\ddot{\kappa}_{2n}'}{2\dot{\kappa}_{2n}'}\Big)\cdot(\mu_{2n}^{(1)})^2+\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n)=\mu_{2n}^{(1)}+\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n)\,.$$

We come to the second part of (5.2). Due to Lemma A.6 (i), we have

$$e^{s_{2n}^0-s_{2n}(q,b)}=\frac{\psi_+(0,\lambda_{2n}\,,q)}{\kappa_{2n}}=1-\overset{\vee}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}+\frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}}\,\mu_{2n}+\frac{(\overset{\vee}{q}{}_{2n}^{+})^2}{2}-\frac{\pi^2(\overset{\wedge}{q}{}_{2n}^{+})^2}{8}$$
$$+\Big(-\frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}}\,\overset{\vee}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}+\frac{\pi^2}{8}\,\overset{\wedge}{q}{}_{2n}^{+}\Big)\mu_{2n}^{(1)}+\frac{\ddot{\kappa}_{2n}}{2\kappa_{2n}}\,(\mu_{2n}^{(1)})^2+\ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n)\,.$$

Therefore,

$$s^0_{2n} - s_{2n}(q,b) = -\overset{\vee}{q}{}^{+}_{2n} + \frac{\dot\kappa_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}}\,\mu_{2n} - \frac{\pi^2}{8}\Big(\overset{\wedge}{q}{}^{+}_{2n} - \frac{\mu^{(1)}_{2n}}{2}\Big)^2 + \Big(\frac{\ddot\kappa_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} - \frac{\dot\kappa^2_{2n}}{\kappa^2_{2n}} + \frac{\pi^2}{16}\Big)\frac{(\mu^{(1)}_{2n})^2}{2} + \ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n)\,.$$

$$= -\overset{\vee}{q}{}^{+}_{2n} + \frac{\dot\kappa_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}}\,\mu_{2n} - \frac{\pi E_n^2}{8}\,b^2 + \ell^2_{\frac34+\delta}(n)\,.$$

This asymptotic is equivalent to (5.2) due to definition (5.1) of $\widetilde{s}_{2n}(q,b)$. ☐

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let* $(q,b)\in\mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R}$. *Then the following identity is fulfilled:*

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}(\mu_{2n}(q,b) - 2\overset{\wedge}{q}{}^{+}_{2n} - 2\pi^{-1/2}E_n b) = -\frac{b^2}{2}\,.$$

*Remark.* This formula generalizes the first identity in (3.8).

*Proof.* We put $q(-x)=q(x)$, $x\geqslant 0$, and consider the following symmetric potential on $\mathbb{R}$:

$$q_\delta(x) = q(x) + 2b\cdot\delta(x)\,.$$

Then (formally) we have $\lambda_{2n}(q_\delta)=\lambda_{2n}(q,b)$. Note that

$$(q_\delta)^\wedge_{2n} = 2\overset{\wedge}{q}{}^{+}_{2n} + (\psi^0_{2n})^2(0)\cdot 2b = 2\overset{\wedge}{q}{}^{+}_{2n} + 2\pi^{-1/2}E_n\cdot b\,.$$

The right-hand side coincides with the main (linear with respect to $q,b$) term in the first asymptotics (5.2). Repeating the proof of (3.8) (and Lemma A.7), we obtain

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}(\mu_{2n}(q,b) - 2\overset{\wedge}{q}{}^{+}_{2n} - 2\pi^{-1/2}E_n b) = 2\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s \sum_{n\geqslant 0}\sum_{m:m\neq n}\frac{(q_\delta,(\psi_{2n}\psi_{2m})(\cdot,tq_\delta))^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\lambda_{2n}(tq_\delta)-\lambda_{2m}(tq_\delta)}\,dt$$

$$= 8b^2\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s \sum_{n\geqslant 0}\sum_{m:m\neq n}\frac{(\psi_{2n}\psi_{2m})^2(0,tq_\delta)}{\lambda_{2n}(tq_\delta)-\lambda_{2m}(tq_\delta)}\,dt\,.$$

Since $(\psi^0_{2n})^2(0)=\pi^{-1/2}E_n = \pi^{-1}(n+1)^{-1/2} + O(n^{-3/2})$, we have

$$\frac{(\psi_{2n}\psi_{2m})^2(0,tq_\delta)}{\lambda_{2n}(tq_\delta)-\lambda_{2m}(tq_\delta)} = \frac{(n+1)^{-\frac12}(m+1)^{-\frac12} + O(n^{-\frac12}m^{-1} + n^{-1}m^{-\frac12})}{4\pi^2(n-m)}$$

uniformly with respect to $t\in[0,1]$. Note that the double sum $\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\sum_{m:m\neq n}\frac{O(\dots)}{4(n-m)}$ is absolutely convergent and so is equal to 0. Hence,

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}(\mu_{2n}(q,b) - 2\overset{\wedge}{q}{}^{+}_{2n} - 2\pi^{-1/2}E_n b) = \frac{b^2}{\pi^2}\lim_{k\to\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{k}\sum_{m=0,m\neq n}^{+\infty}\frac{(n+1)^{-\frac12}(m+1)^{-\frac12}}{n-m}$$

$$= \frac{b^2}{\pi^2} \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{n=0}^{k} \sum_{m=k+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{k} \cdot \frac{m+1}{k}} \left(\frac{n+1}{k} - \frac{m+1}{k}\right)} = \frac{b^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{xy}\,(x-y)}$$

$$= \frac{4b^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{x^2-y^2} = -\frac{2b^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \log\frac{1+x}{1-x}\, \frac{dx}{x} = -\frac{4b^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{s=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2s+1)^2} = -\frac{b^2}{2},$$

since $\log\frac{1+x}{1-x} = 2\sum_{s=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^{2s+1}}{2s+1}$, $x \in (0,1]$ and $\sum_{s=0}^{+\infty} (2s+1)^{-2} = \frac{3}{4}\sum_{s=0}^{+\infty} s^{-2} = \frac{3}{4}\cdot\frac{\pi^2}{6} = \frac{\pi^2}{8}$. ☐

**Proof of Theorem 2.5.** Define the meromorphic function

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{\psi_+(0,\lambda,q)}{w_N(\lambda,q,b)} = \frac{\psi_+(0,\lambda,q,b)}{\psi_+'(0,\lambda,q) - b\psi_+(0,\lambda,q)}, \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By definition (2.8) of $s_{2n}(q,b)$, we have

$$\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}(q,b)} f(\lambda) = \frac{\psi_+(0,\lambda_{2n}(q,b),q)}{\dot w_N(\lambda_{2n}(q,b),q,b)} = \frac{(-1)^n e^{-s_{2n}(q,b)}}{\dot w_N(\lambda_{2n}(q,b),q,b)}.$$

Note that

$$f_0(\lambda) = \frac{\psi_+^0(0,\lambda)}{(\psi_+^0)'(0,\lambda)} = \frac{1}{2}\cot\frac{(\lambda-1)\pi}{4} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac14(\lambda+1))}{\Gamma(\frac14(\lambda+3))}, \qquad \operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}^0} f_0(\lambda) = 2\pi^{-1/2}E_n.$$

Put $|\lambda| = 2k \to \infty$. Then, due to (A.7), we have $C_1 k^{-\frac12} \leqslant |f_0(\lambda)| \leqslant C_2 k^{-\frac12}$ for some absolute constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. Lemma A.2 gives

$$\frac{\psi_+(0,\lambda,q)}{\psi_+^0(0,\lambda)} = 1 + \frac{\psi_+^{(1)}(0,\lambda,q)}{\psi_+^0(0,\lambda)} + O(k^{-1}), \quad \frac{\psi_+'(0,\lambda,q)}{(\psi_+^0)'(0,\lambda)} = 1 + \frac{(\psi_+^{(1)})'(0,\lambda,q)}{(\psi_+^0)'(0,\lambda)} + O(k^{-1})$$

Note that (A.4) implies $\psi_+^{(1)}(0) = \int_0^{+\infty} (\psi_+^0\varphi^0)(t)q(t)dt$ and $(\psi_+^{(1)})'(0) = -\int_0^{+\infty}(\psi_+^0\vartheta^0)(t)q(t)dt$, where we omit $\lambda$ and $q$ for short. Since $\psi_+^0(t) = \psi_+^0(0)\vartheta^0(t) + (\psi_+^0)'(0)\varphi^0(t)$, we have

$$\frac{f(\lambda)}{f_0(\lambda)} = \frac{1 + \frac{\psi_+^{(1)}}{\psi_+^0}(0) + O(k^{-1})}{1 + \frac{(\psi_+^{(1)})'}{(\psi_+^0)'}(0) - bf_0(\lambda) + O(k^{-1})} = 1 + bf_0(\lambda) + \frac{\int_0^{+\infty}(\psi_+^0)^2(t,\lambda)q(t)dt}{\psi_+^0(0)(\psi_+^0)'(0)} + O(k^{-1}).$$

Therefore,

$$f(\lambda) = f_0(\lambda) + b\cdot(f_0(\lambda))^2 + h(\lambda,q) + O(k^{-\frac32}), \quad \text{where} \quad h(\lambda,q) = \frac{\int_0^{+\infty}(\psi_+^0)^2(t,\lambda)q(t)dt}{((\psi_+^0)')^2(0,\lambda)}.$$

Applying the Cauchy Theorem in the disc $|\lambda| \leqslant 2k$ to this function and passing to the limit as $k \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n\geqslant0}\Big(\frac{(-1)^n e^{-s_{2n}(q,b)}}{\dot w_N(\lambda_{2n}(q,b),q,b)} - 2\pi^{-1/2}E_n\Big) = b\cdot\sum_{n\geqslant0}\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}^0}(f_0(\lambda))^2 + \sum_{n\geqslant0}\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}^0} h(\lambda). \tag{5.4}$$

Note that

$$\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda^0_{2n}}(f_0(\lambda))^2=-\frac{\ddot g_0(\lambda^0_{2n})}{(\dot g_0(\lambda^0_{2n}))^3}\,,\quad \text{where}\quad g_0(\lambda)=\frac{1}{f_0(\lambda)}=\frac{(\psi^0_+)'}{\psi^0_+}(\lambda)\,,\quad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,.$$

On the other hand, the identity $g_0(\lambda^0_{2n}+\mu_{2n}(0,\beta))=\beta$ gives

$$\frac{d\mu_{2n}(0,\beta)}{d\beta}\Big|_{\beta=0}=\frac{1}{\dot g_0(\lambda^0_{2n})}\,,\qquad \frac{d^2\mu_{2n}(0,\beta)}{d\beta^2}\Big|_{\beta=0}=-\frac{\ddot g_0(\lambda^0_{2n})}{(\dot g_0(\lambda^0_{2n}))^3}=\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda^0_{2n}}(f_0(\lambda))^2\,.$$

Due to Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda^0_{2n}}(f_0(\lambda))^2=\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\frac{d^2\mu_{2n}(0,\beta)}{d\beta^2}\Big|_{\beta=0}=-1\,. \tag{5.5}$$

Furthermore,

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda^0_{2n}}h(\lambda)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\lim_{k\to\infty}\oint_{|\lambda|=2k}h(\lambda)d\lambda=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\lim_{k\to\infty}\oint_{|\lambda|=2k}\frac{\int_0^{+\infty}(\psi^0_+)^2(t,\lambda)q(t)dt}{((\psi^0_+)')^2(0,\lambda)}\,d\lambda\,.$$

Using Lemma A.2, asymptotics (A.7) and the estimate $\rho(t,\lambda)\geqslant\rho(t,|\lambda|)$, we obtain

$$\Big|\oint_{|\lambda|=2k}h(\lambda)d\lambda\Big|\leqslant\int_0^{+\infty}|q(t)|R_k(t)dt\,,\qquad R_k(t)=\frac{C}{k^{\frac12}\rho^2(t,2k)}\cdot\oint_{|\lambda|=2k}e^{-2\sigma(t,\lambda)}|d\lambda|\,,$$

where $C>0$ is some absolute constant. Since $\sigma(t,\lambda)\geqslant 0$, we have $R_k(t)=O(k^{\frac12}/\rho^2(t,2k))$ uniformly with respect to $t$ and $k$. Therefore, (A.11) yields the following estimate, which is uniform with respect to $k$:

$$\int_0^{+\infty}|q(t)|R_k(t)dt=O(k^{1/2})\cdot\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{|q(t)|dt}{\rho^2(t,2k)}=O(k^{1/2})\cdot\beta(q,2k)=O(\|q\|_{\mathbf{H}_+})\,.$$

On the other hand, due to definitions (A.5), for any fixed $t>0$ we have

$$R_k(t)=O(1)\cdot\int_0^{2\pi}e^{-2\sigma(t,2ke^{i\phi})}d\phi\to 0\,,\qquad k\to\infty\,,$$

since $e^{-2\sigma(t,2ke^{i\phi})}\leqslant 1$ and $\sigma(t,2ke^{i\phi})\to+\infty$ as $k\to\infty$ for any $\phi\in(0,2\pi)$. Hence,

$$\int_0^{+\infty}|q(t)|R_k(t)dt\to 0\,,\qquad k\to+\infty\,.$$

This follows

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\operatorname*{res}_{\lambda=\lambda^0_{2n}}h(\lambda)=0\,. \tag{5.6}$$

Substituting (5.5) and (5.6) into (5.4), we obtain (2.10). ☐

**Proof of Theorem 2.4 (i).** Note that Theorem 5.1 gives $\widetilde{s}_{2n}(q) = \overset{\vee}{q}{}^{+}_{2n}+\frac{1}{8}\,\pi E_n^2 b^2+\ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n)$. Arguing in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 (i), we obtain

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\overset{\vee}{q}{}^{+}_{2n}z^n \equiv P_+\Big[\frac{(G_Nq)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big] \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2}P_+\Big[\frac{(F_Dq)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big] \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2}P_+\Big[\sqrt{-\zeta}\sum_{m\geqslant 0}\hat{q}^{+}_{2m+1}\zeta^m\Big]\,.$$

Recall that $(\triangle Hq)(z)\equiv\sum_{m\geqslant 0}(\hat{q}^{+}_{2m}-\hat{q}^{+}_{2m+1})z^m$ . Then,

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\overset{\vee}{q}{}^{+}_{2n}z^n \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2}P_+\Big[\sqrt{-\zeta}\sum_{m\geqslant 0}\hat{q}^{+}_{2m}\zeta^m\Big]+\frac{\pi}{2}P_+\Big[\sqrt{-\zeta}\cdot(\triangle Hq)(\zeta)\Big]\,, \tag{5.7}$$

Due to Theorem 5.1, we have

$$\sum_{m\geqslant 0}\hat{q}^{+}_{2m}z^m \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\geqslant 0}\mu_{2m}(q,b)z^m+\frac{b}{\pi^{1/2}}\sum_{m\geqslant 0}E_mz^m+\omega(z)\,,\quad \omega\in H^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}\,.$$

Note that Lemma 5.2 yields $\omega(1)=\frac{1}{4}\,b^2$ . Since $\sum_{m\geqslant 0}E_mz^m \equiv (1-z)^{-1/2}$, we have

$$\sum_{m\geqslant 0}\hat{q}^{+}_{2m}z^m \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\geqslant 0}\mu_{2m}(q,b)z^m+\frac{\pi^{-1/2}b}{\sqrt{1-z}}+\frac{b^2}{4}+\omega_1(z)\,,\quad \omega_1\in \mathring{H}^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}\,.$$

Due to the identity $P_+[\,\sqrt{-\zeta}/\sqrt{1-\zeta}\,]\equiv P_+[\,1/\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}\,]\equiv 1$ , we obtain

$$-\frac{\pi}{2}P_+\Big[\sqrt{-\zeta}\sum_{m\geqslant 0}\hat{q}^{+}_{2m}\zeta^m\Big] \equiv -\frac{\pi}{4}P_+\Big[\sqrt{-\zeta}\sum_{m\geqslant 0}\mu_{2m}(q,b)\zeta^m\Big]+\frac{\pi^{1/2}b}{2}-\frac{\pi b^2}{8}P_+[\sqrt{-\zeta}\,]+\omega_2(z)\,, \tag{5.8}$$

where $\omega_2(z)\equiv -\frac{\pi}{2}\,P_+[\sqrt{-\zeta}\omega_1(\zeta)]\in H^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}$ .

We consider the second term in (5.7). Recall that $(\triangle Hq)(1)=\frac{1}{4}\,q(0)$ and

$$\frac{\pi}{2}\,P_+\Big[\frac{(\triangle Hq)(\zeta)-(\triangle Hq)(1)}{\sqrt{-\zeta}}\Big]\equiv(\widetilde{H}q)(z)\equiv\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\widetilde{q}^{+}_nz^n\in H^2_{3/4}\,.$$

Since $1\,/\sqrt{-\zeta}=\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}\,/\sqrt{1-\zeta}$ , $\zeta\neq 1$ , we have

$$(\triangle Hq)(z)-(\triangle Hq)(1)\equiv\frac{2}{\pi}\sqrt{1-z}\,P_+\Big[\frac{(\widetilde{H}q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big]\,.$$

Using the identity $zP_+[f(\zeta)](z)\equiv P_+[\zeta f(\zeta)](z)-P_+[\zeta f(\zeta)](0)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\pi}{2}P_+\Big[\sqrt{-\zeta}\cdot((\triangle Hq)(\zeta)-(\triangle Hq)(1))\Big] &\equiv P_+\Big[\frac{1-\zeta}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\,P_+\Big[\frac{(\widetilde{H}q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big]\Big]\\ &\equiv P_+\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big(P_+\Big[\frac{(1-\zeta)(\widetilde{H}q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big]+P_+\Big[\frac{\zeta(\widetilde{H}q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\overline{\zeta}}}\Big](0)\Big)\Big]\\ &\equiv P_+\Big[\frac{(1-\zeta)(\widetilde{H}q)(\zeta)}{1-\overline{\zeta}}\Big]+\sum_{k\geqslant 0}E_{k+1}\widetilde{q}^{+}_k \equiv -\sum_{n\geqslant 1}\widetilde{q}^{+}_{n-1}z^n+\sum_{k\geqslant 0}E_{k+1}\widetilde{q}^{+}_k\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

Substituting (5.8) and (5.9) into (5.7), we get

$$\sum_{n\geqslant0}\overset{\vee}{q}{}^{+}_{2n}z^n \equiv -\frac{\pi}{4}\,P_+\Big[\sqrt{-\zeta}\,\sum_{n\geqslant0}\mu_{2n}(q,b)\zeta^n\Big] + \frac{\pi(q(0)-b^2)}{8}\,P_+\left[\sqrt{-\zeta}\,\right] - \sum_{n\geqslant0}\tilde{q}^{+}_{n-1}z^n + \omega_2(z)\,,$$

where

$$\tilde{q}^{+}_{-1} = -\sum_{k\geqslant0}E_{k+1}\tilde{q}^{+}_{k} - \frac{\pi^{1/2}b}{2}\,. \tag{5.10}$$

Using Theorem 5.1 and the identity $\sqrt{-\zeta} = -\frac{2}{\pi}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty}\zeta^l/(2l-1)$, we obtain

$$\widetilde{s}_{2n}(q) = \overset{\vee}{q}{}^{+}_{2n} + \frac{\pi E_n^2}{8}\,b^2 + \ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\geqslant0}\frac{\mu_{2m}(q,b)}{2(n-m)-1} - \frac{q(0)-2b^2}{4(2n-1)} + r_{2n}(q,b)\,,$$

$$r_{2n}(q,b) = -\tilde{q}^{+}_{n-1} + \ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n)\,. \tag{5.11}$$

In particular, $\{r_{2n}(q,b)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\ell^2_{3/4}$, since $\{\tilde{q}^{+}_{n-1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\ell^2_{3/4}$. ☐

**Proof of Theorem 2.4 (ii).** As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we prove that the mapping $\Phi$ is 1) injective; 2) analytic; 3) local isomorphism and 4) surjective, where

$$\Phi : (q;b) \mapsto \left(\{\mu_{2n}(q,b)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,; q(0)-2b^2\,; \{r_{2n}(q,b)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\right)\,, \qquad \mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathcal{S}_N\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}\,.$$

**1. Uniqueness Theorem.** Suppose that

$$\left(\{\mu_{2n}(p,a)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,, p(0)-2a^2\,, \{r_{2n}(p,a)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\right) = \left(\{\mu_{2n}(q,b)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,, q(0)-2b^2\,, \{r_{2n}(q,b)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\right)$$

for some potentials $p,q\in\mathbf{H}_+$ and some real constants $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$. It follows

$$\lambda_{2n}(p)=\lambda_{2n}(q)\,, \quad s_{2n}(p)=s_{2n}(q)\,, \quad n\geqslant0\,.$$

Theorem 2.5 yields $a=b$. The rest of the proof repeats the proof of Theorem 2.3 with the function $\vartheta(x,\lambda,q)-b\varphi(x,\lambda,q)$ instead of $\varphi(x,\lambda,q)$. ☐

**2. Analyticity of $\Phi$.** Arguments, similar to the proof of (4.9), follow that the mappings

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} q & \mapsto & (F_N q\,;\triangle Hq) & \mapsto & \left(\{\hat{q}^{+}_{2n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,; q(0)\,; \{\tilde{q}^{+}_{n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\right)\,, \\ \mathbf{H}_+ & \leftrightarrow & H^2_{3/4}\times H^2_{3/4} & \leftrightarrow & \mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}\,, \end{array}$$

are linear isomorphisms. Also, it is clear that the mapping

$$\left(\{\hat{q}^{+}_{2n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,; b\right) \mapsto \left(\{2\hat{q}^{+}_{2n}+2\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_n b\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,; b\right)$$

is a linear isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, since $\tilde{q}^{+}_{-1}$ is a linear combination of $\tilde{q}^{+}_{n}$, $n\geqslant0$, and $b$ (see (5.10)), the mapping

$$\left(b\,; \{\tilde{q}^{+}_{n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\right) \mapsto \{\tilde{q}^{+}_{n-1}\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$$

is a linear isomorphism between $\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}$ and $\ell^2_{3/4}$. Combining these isomorphisms, we obtain that the linear operator

$$\Phi_0 : (q;b) \mapsto \Big(\{2\hat{q}^+_{2n}+2\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_n b\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\,;q(0)\,;\{\tilde{q}^+_{n-1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big)\,, \qquad \Phi_0 : \mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}$$

and its inverse are bounded. Recall that Theorem 5.1 gives

$$\mu_{2n}(q,b) = 2\hat{q}^+_{2n} + 2\pi^{-1/2}E_n b + \ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n)\,, \qquad r_{2n}(q) = -\tilde{q}^+_{n-1} + \ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n)\,.$$

In other words, if we put $\Phi=\Phi_0+\Phi_1$, then $\Phi_1$ maps $\mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R}$ into the space $\ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}$. Moreover, $\Phi_1$ is locally bounded. Using Lemma A.4 by the same way as in Sect. 4, we obtain that $\Phi_1$ is analytic and hence $\Phi$ is analytic too. ☐

**3. Local Isomorphism.** The proof is similar to the case of Dirichlet boundary condition in Sect. 4. Due to Lemma A.4, the following identities are fulfilled:

$$\frac{\partial\mu_{2n}(q,b)}{\partial q(t)} = \psi^2_{2n}(t,q,b)\,, \qquad \frac{\partial s_{2n}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = (\psi_{2n}\chi_{2n})(t,q,b)\,, \tag{5.12}$$

where $\psi_{2n}(\cdot,q,b)$ is $n$-th normalized eigenfunction of $T_b$ and $\chi_{2n}(\cdot,q,b)$ is some special solution of Eq. (2.4) for $\lambda=\lambda_{2n}(q,b)$ such that $\{\chi_{2n+1}\,,\psi_{2n+1}\}=1$. In particular, $(\psi_{2n}\chi_{2n})'\in\mathbf{H}_+$. Also,

$$\frac{\partial\mu_{2n}(q,b)}{\partial b} = \psi^2_{2n}(0,q,b)\,, \qquad \frac{\partial s_{2n}(q)}{\partial b} = (\psi_{2n}\chi_{2n})(0,q,b)\,. \tag{5.13}$$

Note that for each $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$, $b\in\mathbb{R}$, the Fréchet derivative

$$d_{(q;b)}\Phi = \Phi_0 + d_{(q;b)}\Phi_1 : \mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}$$

is a Fredholm operator since $\Phi_0^{-1}$ is bounded and $d_{(q;b)}\Phi_1$ maps $\mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R}$ into $\ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}$ and so is compact as an operator from $\mathbf{H}_+$ to $\ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}}$. Hence, it is sufficient to show that

$$\mathcal{H}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4} = \overline{\operatorname{Ran} d_{(q;b)}\Psi}\,. \tag{5.14}$$

Using (5.12), (5.13) and Lemma A.5 (ii), we get

$$\Big(2(\psi^2_{2n})'(q,b), \frac{\partial\mu_{2n}(q,b)}{\partial q}\Big)_+ + \ \psi^2_{2n}(0,q,b)\frac{\partial\mu_{2n}(q,b)}{\partial b} \ = \ 0\,,$$

$$\Big(2(\psi^2_{2n})'(q,b), \frac{\partial s_{2n}(q,b)}{\partial q}\Big)_+ + \ \psi^2_{2n}(0,q,b)\frac{\partial s_{2n}(q,b)}{\partial b} \ = \ \delta_{nm}\,,$$

Moreover, note that

$$(d_{(q;b)}(q(0)-2b^2))(2(\psi^2_{2n})'(q,b);\psi^2_{2n}(0,q,b)) = 2(\psi^2_{2n})'(0,q,b)-4b\psi^2_{2n}(0,q,b) = 0\,,$$

since $\psi'_{2n}(0,q,b))=b\psi_{2n}(0,q,b)$. Hence, definition (2.9) gives

$$(d_{(q;b)}\Phi)\left(2(\psi^2_{2n})'(\cdot,q,b)\,;\psi^2_{2n}(0,q,b)\right) = (\mathbf{0}\,;0\,;\mathbf{e}_n)\,.$$

Therefore,

$$\{(\mathbf{0};0)\}\times\ell^2_{3/4}\subset\overline{\operatorname{Ran} d_{(q;b)}\Phi}\,.$$

We consider the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1(q)$ of the operator $T_D$ (with the same potential $q$) and the function

$$\xi(t)=((\vartheta-b\varphi)\varphi)'(t,\lambda_1(q),q)\,.$$

Note that $\xi(0)=1$, $\xi\in\mathbf{H}_+$ and $(\xi,\psi^2_{2m}(q))_+=0$. Hence,

$$(d_{(q;b)}\Phi)(\xi\,;0)=\big(\mathbf{0}\,;1\,;(d_{(q;b)}r)(\xi\,;0)\big)\,.$$

This implies

$$\{\mathbf{0}\}\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}\subset\overline{\operatorname{Ran} d_{(q;b)}\Phi}\,.$$

Furthermore, using Lemma A.5 (ii) again, we obtain

$$(d_{(q;b)}\Phi)\left(-2(\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m})'\,;-(\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m})(0)\right)=\big(\mathbf{e}_m\,;2\,;(d_{(q;b)}r)(-2(\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m})'\,;-(\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m})(0))\big)\,.$$

Since the set of finite sequences is dense in $\mathcal{H}_0$, it yields

$$\mathcal{H}_0\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}\subset\overline{\operatorname{Ran} d_{(q,b)}\Phi}\,.$$

In conclusion, we consider an arbitrary function $\zeta\in\mathbf{H}_+$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\zeta(t)dt\neq0$. Due to asymptotics (2.7), we have

$$(d_{(q,b)}\Phi)(\zeta\,;0)\notin\mathcal{H}_0\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}\,.$$

Hence, (5.14) holds true since the codimension of $\mathcal{H}_0$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is equal to 1. ☐

**4. $\Phi$ is surjective.** The following Lemma is an analogue of Lemma 4.4:

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let* $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$, $b\in\mathbb{R}$, $n\geqslant0$ *and* $t\in\mathbb{R}$. *Denote*

$$q^t_{2n}(x)=q(x)-2\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\log\eta^t_{2n}(x,q,b)\,,\qquad b^t_{2n}=b+(1-e^{-t})\,\psi^2_{2n}(0,q,b)\,,$$

*where*

$$\eta^t_{2n}(x,q,b)=1+(e^t-1)\int_x^{+\infty}\psi^2_{2n}(s,q,b)ds\,.$$

*Then* $q^t_{2n}\in\mathbf{H}$ *and*

$$\lambda_{2m}(q^t_{2n}\,,b^t_{2n})=\lambda_{2m}(q,b)\,,\qquad s_{2m}(q^t_{2n}\,,b^t_{2n})=s_{2m}(q,b)+t\delta_{nm}$$

*for all* $m\geqslant0$. *Moreover,* $q^t_{2n}(0)-2(b^t_{2n})^2=q(0)-2b^2$.

*Remark.* In this case definition (2.9) yields $r_{2m}(q^t_{2n}\,,b^t_{2n})=r_{2m}(q,b)+t\delta_{nm}$.

*Proof.* The crucial point is the changing of $b$, i.e. the changing of the boundary condition. It is known (see [PT], [MT], [CKK2]) that for each $m\geqslant 0$ the function

$$\widetilde{\psi}_{2m}(x)=\psi_{2n}(x,q,b)-(e^t-1)\frac{\psi_{2n}(x,q,b)}{\eta_{2n}^t(x,q,b)}\int_x^{+\infty}\psi_{2n}(s,q,b)\psi_{2m}(s,q,b)ds$$

is a solution of the equation $-\psi''+x^2\psi+q_{2n}^t(x)\psi=\lambda_{2m}(q,b)\psi$. Direct calculations show that the identities $\widetilde{\psi}'_{2m}(0)=b_{2n}^t\widetilde{\psi}_{2m}(x)$ holds true for all $m\geqslant 0$. Hence, $\lambda_{2m}(q_{2n}^t, b_{2n}^t)=\lambda_{2m}(q,b)$. The other equalities are similar to Lemma 4.4. $\square$

The rest of the proof is the same as in Sect. 4. We consider an arbitrary spectral data $(h^*;u^*;c^*)\in\mathcal{S}_D\times\mathbb{R}\times\ell^2_{3/4}$. Due to Theorem 2.2, there exists potential $q^*\in\mathbf{H}_+$ such that

$$\mu_{2n}(q^*)=\mu_{2n}(q^*,0)=h_n^*,\quad n\geqslant 0,\qquad q^*(0)=u^*.$$

Since $\Phi$ is a local isomorphism and the set of finite sequences is dense in $\mathcal{H}_0$, there exists a finite number of values $t_0, t_2, \dots, t_{2k}$ such that

$$\big(h^*;u^*;(c_0^*-t_0,\dots,c_{2k}^*-t_{2k},c_{2k+2}^*,c_{2k+4}^*,\dots)\big)=\Phi(q_{k+1};b_{k+1})\in\Phi(\mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R}).$$

Using Lemma 5.3 $k+1$ times step by step, we obtain potential $q_0\in\mathbf{H}_+$ and constant $b_0\in\mathbb{R}$, such that $\Psi(q_0;b_0)=(h^*;u^*;c^*)$. The proof is finished. $\square$

# A Appendix

Here we collect some technical results from [CKK2], [CKK1] which are essentially used above.
**A.1 The unperturbed equation.** It is well-known that for each $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ the equation

$$-\psi''+x^2\psi=\lambda\psi. \tag{A.1}$$

has the solution $\psi_+^0(x,\lambda)=D_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(\sqrt{2}x)$, where $D_\mu(x)$ is the Weber function (or the parabolic cylinder function, see [B]). Moreover, for each $x$ the functions $\psi_+^0(x,\cdot)$ and $(\psi_+^0)'(x,\cdot)$ are entire and the following asymptotics are fulfilled:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_+^0(x,\lambda)&=(\sqrt{2}x)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\left(1+O(x^{-2})\right),\quad x\to+\infty,\\(\psi_+^0)'(x,\lambda)&=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sqrt{2}x)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\left(1+O(x^{-2})\right),\quad x\to+\infty,\end{aligned}\tag{A.2}$$

uniformly with respect to $\lambda$ on bounded domains. Note that (see [B])

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_+^0(0,\lambda)&=D_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(0)=2^{\frac{\lambda-1}{4}}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3-\lambda}{4})=\cos\frac{(\lambda-1)\pi}{4}\cdot\frac{2^{\frac{\lambda-1}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\Gamma\Big(\frac{\lambda+1}{4}\Big),\\(\psi_+^0)'(0,\lambda)&=\sqrt{2}D'_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(0)=2^{\frac{\lambda-1}{4}}\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1-\lambda}{4})=\sin\frac{(\lambda-1)\pi}{4}\cdot\frac{2^{\frac{\lambda+3}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\Gamma\Big(\frac{\lambda+3}{4}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{A.3}$$

Let $J^0(x,t;\lambda)$ be the solution of (A.1) such that $J^0(t,t;\lambda)=0$, $(J^0)'_x(t,t;\lambda)=1$. Note that

$$J^0(0,t;\lambda)=-\varphi^0(t,\lambda)\,,\qquad (J^0)'_x(0,t;\lambda)=\vartheta^0(t,\lambda)\,. \tag{A.4}$$

In order to estimate $\psi_+^0$ and $J^0$, we introduce real-valued functions

$$\begin{gathered}\rho(x,\lambda)=1+|\lambda|^{1/12}+|x^2-\lambda|^{1/4}\,,\\ a(\lambda)=\left|\frac{\lambda}{2e}\right|^{\frac{\operatorname{Re}\lambda}{4}}e^{\frac{\pi-\phi}{4}\operatorname{Im}\lambda},\qquad \lambda=|\lambda|e^{i\phi},\quad \phi\in[0,2\pi)\,,\\ \sigma(x,\lambda)=\operatorname{Re}\int_0^x\sqrt{y^2-\lambda}\,dy\,,\quad x\geqslant 0\,,\end{gathered} \tag{A.5}$$

where $\sqrt{y^2-\lambda}=y+o(1)$ as $y\to+\infty$ (note that it follows $\operatorname{Re}\sqrt{y^2-\lambda}\geqslant 0$, if $y\geqslant 0$).

**Lemma A.1.** *For all $(x,t,\lambda)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{C}$ the following estimates are fulfilled:*

$$|\psi_+^0(x,\lambda)|\leqslant C_0a(\lambda)\cdot\frac{e^{-\sigma(x,\lambda)}}{\rho(x,\lambda)}\,,\qquad |(\psi_+^0)'(x,\lambda)|\leqslant C_0a(\lambda)\cdot\rho(x,\lambda)e^{-\sigma(x,\lambda)}\,, \tag{A.6}$$

$$|J^0(x,t;\lambda)|\leqslant\frac{C_1}{\rho(x,\lambda)\rho(t,\lambda)}\,e^{|\sigma(x,\lambda)-\sigma(t,\lambda)|}\,,\quad |(J^0)'_x(x,t;\lambda)|\leqslant C_1\frac{\rho(x,\lambda)}{\rho(t,\lambda)}\,e^{|\sigma(x,\lambda)-\sigma(t,\lambda)|}\,,$$

*where $C_0$, $C_1$ are some absolute constants.*

*Proof.* See Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 [CKK1]. Note that the proof is based on the result of [O]. ☐

*Remark.* If $x=0$ and $|\lambda|\geqslant 1$, then[10] $\sigma(0,\lambda)=0$ and $\rho(0,\lambda)\asymp|\lambda|^{1/4}$. Identities (A.3) and routine calculations follow

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi_+^0(0,\lambda)|&\asymp|\lambda|^{-1/4}a(\lambda)\,,\quad\text{if}\quad |\lambda|=k\neq 4n+3\,,\\ |(\psi_+^0)'(0,\lambda)|&\asymp|\lambda|^{1/4}a(\lambda)\,,\quad\text{if}\quad |\lambda|=k\neq 4n+1\,,\end{aligned}\qquad k,n\in\mathbb{N}\,. \tag{A.7}$$

In other words, the estimates (A.6) of $|\psi_+(0,\lambda)|$ and $|\psi_+'(0,\lambda)|$ are exact on these contours.

**A.2 The perturbed equation.** The solutions $\psi_+$, $\vartheta$, $\varphi$ of the perturbed equation

$$-\psi''+x^2\psi+q(x)\psi=\lambda\psi,\quad \lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,, \tag{A.8}$$

can be constructed by iterations:

$$\psi_+(x,\lambda,q)=\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\psi_+^{(n)}(x,\lambda,q)\,,\quad \psi_+^{(n+1)}(x,\lambda,q)=-\int_x^{+\infty}J^0(x,t;\lambda)\psi_+^{(n)}(t,\lambda,q)q(t)dt\,, \tag{A.9}$$

$$\vartheta_{1,2}(x,\lambda,q)=\sum_{n\geqslant 0}\vartheta_{1,2}^{(n)}(x,\lambda,q),\qquad \vartheta_{1,2}^{(n+1)}(x,\lambda,q)=\int_0^x J^0(x,t;\lambda)\vartheta_{1,2}^{(n)}(t,\lambda,q)q(t)dt\,, \tag{A.10}$$

where we use the notations $\vartheta_1=\vartheta$ and $\vartheta_2=\varphi$ for short.

---

[10] Here and below $f\asymp g$ means that $C_1|f|\leqslant|g|\leqslant C_2|f|$ for some absolute constants $C_1,C_2>0$.

Introduce functions

$$\beta_+(x,\lambda,q)=C_1\int_x^{+\infty}\frac{|q(t)|dt}{\rho^2(t,\lambda)}\,,\qquad \beta_0(x,\lambda,q)=C_1\int_0^x\frac{|q(t)|dt}{\rho^2(t,\lambda)}dt\,.$$

It is easy to see ([CKK2] Lemma 5.5) that

$$\beta(\lambda,q)=\beta_+(x,\lambda,q)+\beta_0(x,\lambda,q)=C_1\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{|q(t)|dt}{\rho^2(t,\lambda)}=O(|\lambda|^{-1/2}\|q\|_{\mathbf{H}_+})\,. \tag{A.11}$$

**Lemma A.2.** *For all* $(x,\lambda,q)\in\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{C}\times\mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$ *the following estimates are fulfilled:*

$$|\psi_+^{(n)}(x,\lambda,q)|\leqslant C_0a(\lambda)\frac{e^{-\sigma(x,\lambda)}}{\rho(x,\lambda)}\cdot\frac{\beta_+^n(x,\lambda,q)}{n!}\,,$$

$$|\vartheta_j^{(n)}(x,\lambda,q)|\leqslant\frac{2C_1}{(1+|\lambda|^{1/4})^{2j-3}}\cdot\frac{e^{\sigma(x,\lambda)}}{\rho(x,\lambda)}\cdot\frac{\beta_0^n(x,\lambda,q)}{n!}\,,\quad j=1,2\,.$$

*In particular, series (A.9), (A.10) converge uniformly on bounded subsets of* $\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{C}\times\mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$. *Moreover, the similar estimates with* $\rho(x,\lambda)$ *instead of* $\frac{1}{\rho(x,\lambda)}$ *in right-hand sides hold true for the values* $|(\psi_\pm^{(n)})'(x,\lambda,q)|$ *and* $|(\vartheta_j^{(n)})'(x,\lambda,q)|$.

*Proof.* See [CKK1] Lemma 3.1 and [CKK2] Lemmas 5.2, 5.3. □

**Corollary A.3.** *For all* $(\lambda,q)\in\mathbb{C}\times\mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$, $n,m\geqslant 0$ *and some absolute constant* $C>0$ *the following estimates are fulfilled:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\frac{\partial^m\psi_+^{(n)}(0,\lambda,q)}{\partial\lambda^m}\Big|&\leqslant\frac{m!C^{n+m+1}\|q\|_{\mathbf{H}_+}^n}{n!}\cdot\frac{\log^m(|\lambda|+2)\cdot a(\lambda)}{(|\lambda|+1)^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac14}}\,,\\ \Big|\frac{\partial^m(\psi_+^{(n)})'(0,\lambda,q)}{\partial\lambda^m}\Big|&\leqslant\frac{m!C^{n+m+1}\|q\|_{\mathbf{H}_+}^n}{n!}\cdot\frac{\log^m(|\lambda|+2)\cdot a(\lambda)}{(|\lambda|+1)^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac14}}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

*Proof.* Note that $\sigma(0,\lambda)=0$ and $\rho(0,\lambda)\asymp 1+|\lambda|^{1/4}$. Hence, Lemma A.2 and (A.11) give (A.12) for $m=0$. Recall that $\psi_+^{(n)}(0,\lambda,q)$, $(\psi_+^{(n)})'(0,\lambda,q)$ are entire functions. Therefore, the integration over the contour $\lambda(\phi)=\lambda+e^{i\phi}\log^{-1}(|\lambda|+2)$, $\phi\in[0,2\pi]$, together with the simple estimate $a(\lambda(\phi))=O(a(\lambda))$ follows (A.12) in the general case $m>0$. □

Let

$$\widetilde{\beta}_+(x,q)=\frac{1}{x^2+1}+\int_x^{+\infty}\Big|\frac{q(t)}{t}\Big|\,dt\,.$$

The following asymptotics as $x\to+\infty$ are fulfilled uniformly on bounded subsets of $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$ (see [CKK2] p.139 and p.169):

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_+(x,\lambda,q)&=(\sqrt{2}x)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}(1+O(\widetilde{\beta}_+(x,q)))\,,\\ \psi_+'(x,\lambda,q)&=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sqrt{2}x)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}(1+O(\widetilde{\beta}_+(x,q)))\,.\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

Moreover, if $\chi_+(x,\lambda,q)$ is an arbitrary solution of (A.8) such that $k=\{\chi_+,\psi_+\}\neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_+(x,\lambda,q) &= -\frac{k}{\sqrt{2}}(\sqrt{2}x)^{\frac{-\lambda-1}{2}}e^{\frac{x^2}{2}}(1+O(\widetilde{\beta}_+(x,q)))\,, \\ \chi'_+(x,\lambda,q) &= -\frac{k}{2}(\sqrt{2}x)^{\frac{-\lambda+1}{2}}e^{\frac{x^2}{2}}(1+O(\widetilde{\beta}_+(x,q)))\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

*Remark.* If $q\in\mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$, then (A.13), (A.14) give $(\psi_+\chi_+)'\in\mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$ (see [CKK2] p. 172).

### A.3 Analyticity of spectral data and its gradients.

**Lemma A.4.** *For each* $(q;b)\in\mathbf{H}_+\times\mathbb{R}$ *all spectral data* $\lambda_{2n+1}(q)$, $s_{2n+1}(q)$, $\lambda_{2n}(q,b)$, $s_{2n}(q,b)$ *extend analytically to some complex ball* $\{(p;a)\in\mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C}:\|p-q\|^2_{\mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}}+|a-b|^2<R^2(q,b)\}$. *Moreover, its gradients are given by*

$$\frac{\partial\lambda_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q(t)}=\psi^2_{2n+1}(t,q)\,,\qquad \frac{\partial\lambda_{2n}(q,b)}{\partial q(t)}=\psi^2_{2n}(t,q,b)\,,$$

$$\frac{\partial s_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q(t)}=(\psi_{2n+1}\chi_{2n+1})(t,q)\,,\qquad \frac{\partial s_{2n}(q,b)}{\partial q(t)}=(\psi_{2n}\chi_{2n})(t,q,b)\,,$$

*where* $\psi_{2n+1}$ *is the n-th normalized eigenfunction of* $T_D$, $\psi_{2n}$ *is the n-th normalized eigenfunction of* $T_b$, *and*

$$\chi_{2n+1}(t,q)=\frac{\vartheta(t,\lambda_{2n+1}(q),q)}{\psi'_{2n+1}(0,q)}-\frac{\dot{\psi}'_+}{\psi'_+}(0,\lambda_{2n+1}(q),q)\cdot\psi_{2n+1}(t,q)\,,$$

$$\chi_{2n}(t,q,b)=-\frac{\varphi(t,\lambda_{2n}(q,b),q)}{\psi_{2n}(0,q,b)}-\frac{\dot{\psi}_+}{\psi_+}(0,\lambda_{2n}(q,b),q)\cdot\psi_{2n}(t,q,b)\,.$$

*Furthermore,*

$$\frac{\partial\lambda_{2n}(q,b)}{\partial b}=\psi^2_{2n}(0,q,b)\,,\qquad \frac{\partial s_{2n}(q,b)}{\partial b}=(\psi_{2n}\chi_{2n})(0,q,b)\,.$$

*Proof.* The proof of the analyticity repeats the proof of [CKK2] Lemma 2.3 (p. 172). In order to calculate gradients note that standard arguments (see [CKK2] Lemma 5.6) give

$$\frac{\partial\psi_+(0,\lambda,q)}{\partial q(t)}=(\varphi\psi_+)(t,\lambda,q),\qquad \frac{\partial\psi'_+(0,\lambda,q)}{\partial q(t)}=-(\vartheta\psi_+)(t,\lambda,q),\quad t\geqslant 0.$$

Applying the implicit function Theorem to the equation $\psi_+(0,\lambda_{2n+1}(q),q)=0$ and using the identity $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\psi_+^2(t,\lambda,q)dt=\{\psi_+,\dot{\psi}_+\}(0,\lambda,q)$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\lambda_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q(t)}=-\frac{\partial\psi_+(0)/\partial q(t)}{\partial\psi_+(0)/\partial\lambda}=-\frac{(\varphi\psi_+)(t)}{\dot{\psi}_+(0)}=-\frac{\psi_+^2(t)}{\psi'_+(0)\dot{\psi}_+(0)}=\frac{\psi_+^2(t)}{\{\psi_+,\dot{\psi}_+\}(0)}=\psi^2_{2n+1}(t)$$

(here and below we omit $\lambda{=}\lambda_{2n+1}(q)$ and $q$ for short). Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial s_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = -\frac{\partial \log|\psi_+'(0,\lambda_{2n+1}(q),q)|}{\partial q(t)} = \frac{(\vartheta\psi_+)(t) - \dot\psi_+'(0)\cdot\psi_{2n+1}^2(t)}{\psi_+'(0)} = (\psi_{2n+1}\chi_{2n+1})(t)\,.$$

By the same way, the identity $(\psi_+'{-}\,b\psi_+)(0,\lambda_{2n}(q,b),q)$ follows

$$\frac{\partial\lambda_{2n}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = -\frac{\partial(\psi_+'{-}\,b\psi_+)(0)/\partial q(t)}{\partial(\psi_+'{-}\,b\psi_+)(0)/\partial\lambda} = \frac{(\vartheta+b\varphi)(t)\psi_+(t)}{\dot\psi_+'(0)-b\dot\psi_+(0)} = \frac{\psi_+^2(t)}{\psi_+(0)(\dot\psi_+'(0)-b\dot\psi_+(0))} = \psi_{2n}^2(t)\,,$$

$$\frac{\partial\lambda_{2n}(q)}{\partial b} = \frac{\psi_+(0)}{\dot\psi_+'(0)-b\dot\psi_+(0)} = \frac{\psi_+^2(0)}{\{\psi_+,\dot\psi_+\}(0)} = \psi_{2n}^2(0)\,,$$

Furthermore,

$$\frac{\partial s_{2n}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = -\frac{\partial\log|\psi_+(0,\lambda_{2n}(q),q)|}{\partial q(t)} = -\frac{(\varphi\psi_+)(t)+\dot\psi_+(0)\cdot\psi_{2n}^2(t)}{\psi_+(0)} = (\psi_{2n}\chi_{2n})(t)\,,$$

$$\frac{\partial s_{2n}(q)}{\partial b} = -\frac{\partial\log|\psi_+(0,\lambda_{2n}(q),q)|}{\partial b} = -\frac{\dot\psi_+(0)\cdot\psi_{2n}^2(0)}{\psi_+(0)} = (\psi_{2n}\chi_{2n})(0)\,,$$

where we omit $\lambda{=}\lambda_{2n}(q,b)$ and $q$ for short. □

**Lemma A.5.** *(i) For each $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$ and $n,m\geqslant 0$ the following identities are fulfilled:*

$$\big((\psi_{2n+1}^2)',\psi_{2m+1}^2\big)_+ = 0\,,\qquad \big((\psi_{2n+1}\chi_{2n+1})',\psi_{2m+1}^2\big)_+ = -\tfrac12\,\delta_{mn}\,,$$
$$\big((\psi_{2n+1}^2)',\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1}\big)_+ = \tfrac12\,\delta_{mn}\,,\quad \big((\psi_{2n+1}\chi_{2n+1})',\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1}\big)_+ = 0\,.$$

*(ii) For each $q\in\mathbf{H}_+$ , $b{\in}\mathbb{R}$ and $n,m\geqslant 0$ the following identities are fulfilled:*

$$\big((\psi_{2n}^2)',\psi_{2m}^2\big)_+ = -\tfrac12\,(\psi_{2n}^2\psi_{2m}^2)(0),\qquad \big((\psi_{2n}\chi_{2n})',\psi_{2m}^2\big)_+ = \tfrac12\,(-\delta_{nm}{-}\,(\psi_{2n}^2\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m})(0)),$$
$$\big((\psi_{2n}^2)',\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m}\big)_+{=}\,\tfrac12\,(\delta_{nm}{-}(\psi_{2n}^2\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m})(0)),\ \ \big((\psi_{2n}\chi_{2n})',\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m}\big)_+{=}{-}\tfrac12\,(\psi_{2n}\chi_{2n}\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m})(0).$$

*Remark.* This Lemma is similar to [CKK2] Lemma 2.6 (see also [PT] p. 44-45).

*Proof.* (i) For instance, we prove the third identity. Integration by parts gives

$$I_{nm} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\psi_{2n+1}^2)'(t,q)(\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})(t,q)dt = \frac12\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\{\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1}\,,(\psi_{2n+1}^2)\}(t,q)dt$$
$$= \frac12\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}(\chi_{2m+1}\psi_{2n+1}\{\psi_{2m+1}\,,\psi_{2n+1}\} + \psi_{2m+1}\psi_{2n+1}\{\chi_{2m+1}\,,\psi_{2n+1}\})(t,q)dt\,.$$

For $n{\neq}m$ it follows

$$I_{nm} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\frac{(\{\psi_{2m+1}\,,\psi_{2n+1}\}\{\chi_{2m+1}\,,\psi_{2n+1}\})'(q,t)}{2(\lambda_{2m+1}(q){-}\lambda_{2n+1}(q))}\,dt = 0\,.$$

If $n=m$, then we have $\{\psi_{2m+1}, \psi_{2n+1}\}=0$ and $\{\chi_{2m+1}, \psi_{2n+1}\}=1$. Therefore,

$$I_{nn} = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \psi_{2n+1}^2(t,q)dt = \frac{1}{2}\,.$$

The proof of others identities and the proof of (ii) is similar. $\square$

**A.4 The leading terms of asymptotics of $\psi_+(0,\lambda)$ and $\psi'_+(0,\lambda)$.** Let

$$\kappa_n = \psi_+^0(0,\lambda_n^0)\,, \qquad \kappa'_n = (\psi_+^0)'(0,\lambda_n^0)\,, \qquad \dot{\kappa}_n = \dot{\psi}_+^0(0,\lambda_n^0) \quad \text{and so on.}$$

Note that (A.3) yields

$$\begin{array}{lll} \kappa_{2n} \asymp |\lambda_{2n}^0|^{-1/4}\cdot a(\lambda_{2n}^0)\,, & \kappa'_{2n} = 0\,, & \dot{\kappa}'_{2n} \asymp |\lambda_{2n}^0|^{1/4}\cdot a(\lambda_{2n}^0)\,, \\ \kappa_{2n+1} = 0\,, & \kappa'_{2n+1} \asymp |\lambda_{2n+1}^0|^{1/4}\cdot a(\lambda_{2n+1}^0)\,, & \dot{\kappa}_{2n+1} \asymp |\lambda_{2n+1}^0|^{-1/4}\cdot a(\lambda_{2n+1}^0)\,, \end{array} \tag{A.15}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} \dfrac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} - \dfrac{\ddot{\kappa}'_{2n}}{2\dot{\kappa}'_{2n}} = O(n^{-1})\,, & \dfrac{\ddot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} - \dfrac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}^2}{\kappa_{2n}^2} + \dfrac{\pi^2}{16} = O(n^{-1})\,, \\ \dfrac{\dot{\kappa}'_{2n+1}}{\kappa'_{2n+1}} - \dfrac{\ddot{\kappa}_{2n+1}}{2\dot{\kappa}_{2n+1}} = O(n^{-1})\,, & \dfrac{\ddot{\kappa}'_{2n+1}}{\kappa'_{2n+1}} - \dfrac{(\dot{\kappa}'_{2n+1})^2}{(\kappa'_{2n+1})^2} + \dfrac{\pi^2}{16} = O(n^{-1})\,, \end{array} \qquad n\to\infty\,. \tag{A.16}$$

**Lemma A.6.** *(i) Let* $\psi_+^{(1)} = \psi_+^{(1)}(0,\lambda_{2n}^0,q)$, $\dot{\psi}_+^{(1)} = \dot{\psi}_+^{(1)}(0,\lambda_{2n}^0,q)$ *and so on. The following identities and asymptotics are fulfilled for some absolute constant* $\delta>0$*:*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \psi_+^{(1)} = -\kappa_{2n}\cdot \check{q}_{2n}^+\,, & \dot{\psi}_+^{(1)} = \kappa_{2n}\big(-\frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}}\,\check{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{\pi^2}{8}\,\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + \ell^2_{\frac{1}{4}+\delta}(n)\big)\,, \\ (\psi_+^{(1)})' = -2\dot{\kappa}'_{2n}\cdot \hat{q}_{2n}^+\,, & (\dot{\psi}_+^{(1)})' = -2\dot{\kappa}'_{2n}\big(\frac{\kappa_{\dot{2}n}}{\kappa_{2n}}\,\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{1}{2}\,\check{q}_{2n}^+ + \ell^2_{\frac{1}{4}+\delta}(n)\big)\,, \\ (\psi_+^{(2)})' = -2\dot{\kappa}'_{2n}\big(-\check{q}_{2n}^+\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + \ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n)\big)\,, & \psi_+^{(2)} = \kappa_{2n}\big(\frac{1}{2}\,(\check{q}_{2n}^+)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{8}\,(\hat{q}_{2n}^+)^2 + \ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n)\big)\,. \end{array}$$

*(ii) Let* $\psi_+^{(1)} = \psi_+^{(1)}(0,\lambda_{2n+1}^0,q)$, $\dot{\psi}_+^{(1)} = \dot{\psi}_+^{(1)}(0,\lambda_{2n+1}^0,q)$ *and so on. The following identities and asymptotics are fulfilled for some absolute constant* $\delta>0$*:*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \psi_+^{(1)} = -2\dot{\kappa}_{2n+1}\hat{q}_{2n+1}^+\,, & \dot{\psi}_+^{(1)} = -2\dot{\kappa}_{2n+1}\big(\frac{\dot{\kappa}'_{2n+1}}{\kappa'_{2n+1}}\,\hat{q}_{2n+1}^+ + \frac{1}{2}\,\check{q}_{2n+1}^+ + \ell^2_{\frac{1}{4}+\delta}(n)\big), \\ (\psi_+^{(1)})' = -\kappa'_{2n+1}\cdot \check{q}_{2n+1}^+\,, & (\dot{\psi}_+^{(1)})' = \kappa'_{2n+1}\big(-\frac{\dot{\kappa}'_{2n+1}}{\kappa'_{2n+1}}\,\check{q}_{2n+1}^+ + \frac{\pi^2}{8}\hat{q}_{2n+1}^+ + \ell^2_{\frac{1}{4}+\delta}(n)\big), \\ \psi_+^{(2)} = -2\dot{\kappa}_{2n+1}\big(-\check{q}_{2n+1}^+\hat{q}_{2n+1}^+ + \ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n)\big), & (\psi_+^{(2)})' = \kappa'_{2n+1}\big(\frac{1}{2}(\check{q}_{2n+1}^+)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{8}(\hat{q}_{2n+1}^+)^2 + \ell^2_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}(n)\big). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* See [CKK2] Lemmas 5.11, 5.12 and [CKK2] Theorem 6.4. $\square$

**A.5 The convergence of one double sum.** Here we prove technical

**Lemma A.7.** *Let* $q,p\in\mathbf{H}$. *Then*

$$S_k=\sum_{n=0}^{k}\sum_{m=k+1}^{+\infty}\frac{\big(q,(\psi_n\psi_m)(p)\big)^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{m-n}\to 0\quad as\quad k\to\infty\,.$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma A.2, Corollary A.3 and asymptotics (A.7), we obtain

$$\psi_n^0=O(\rho^{-1}(x,\lambda_n^0))\,,\qquad \psi_n(x,p)=\psi_n^0(x)+O(n^{-\frac12}\log n\cdot\rho^{-1}(x,\lambda_n^0))\,.$$

Note that

$$\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{|q(t)|dt}{\rho(t,\lambda_n^0)\rho(t,\lambda_m^0)}\Big)^2\leqslant\int_{\mathbb{R}}(t^2+1)|q(t)|^2dt\cdot\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{dt}{(t^2+1)\rho^2(t,\lambda_n^0)\rho^2(t,\lambda_m^0)}=O(n^{-\frac12}m^{-\frac12})\,.$$

Let

$$S_k=\sum_{n=0}^{k}\sum_{m=k+1}^{k+k^{3/4}}+\sum_{n=0}^{k}\sum_{m=k+k^{3/4}}^{+\infty}=S_k^{(1)}+S_k^{(2)}\,.$$

We have

$$S_k^{(1)}=O(k^{-\frac12})\sum_{n=0}^{k}O(n^{-\frac12})\sum_{m=k+1}^{k+k^{3/4}}\frac{1}{m-n}=O(k^{\frac14})\sum_{n=0}^{k}O(n^{-\frac12}(k-n)^{-1})=O(k^{-\frac14}\log k)\,,$$

i.e. $S_k^{(1)}=o(1)$ as $k\to\infty$. We estimate $S_k^{(2)}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be sufficiently small. If $m\geqslant n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\big(q,(\psi_n\psi_m)(p)\big)^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}&=\big(q,(\psi_n^0\psi_m^0)\big)^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+O(\log n\cdot n^{-1}m^{-\frac12})\\&=\Big(\int_{-n^\varepsilon}^{n^\varepsilon}q(t)\psi_n^0(t)\psi_m^0(t)dt\Big)^2+O(n^{-\frac12-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}m^{-\frac12})\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\Big(\int_{|t|\geqslant n^\varepsilon}\frac{|q(t)|dt}{\rho(t,\lambda_n^0)\rho(t,\lambda_m^0)}\Big)^2\leqslant\int_{|t|\geqslant n^\varepsilon}(|t|^{3/2}+1)|q(t)|^2dt\cdot O(n^{-\frac12}m^{-\frac12})=O(n^{-\frac12-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}m^{-\frac12})\,.$$

It is easy to see (see e.g. [CKK2] Lemma 6.7) that

$$\psi_n^0(t)=\sqrt{2/\pi}\cdot(\lambda_n^0)^{-\frac14}\cos\!\big(\sqrt{\lambda_n^0}\cdot t-\tfrac{\pi n}{2}\big)+O(n^{-\frac34+3\varepsilon})\,,\qquad |t|\leqslant n^\varepsilon\,.$$

If $m\geqslant n+n^{3/4}$, then $\sqrt{\lambda_m^0}-\sqrt{\lambda_n^0}\geqslant n^{\frac14}$. Integration by parts and $q'\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ follow

$$\int_{-n^\varepsilon}^{n^\varepsilon}q(t)\psi_n^0(t)\psi_m^0(t)dt=O(n^{-\frac12}m^{-\frac14})\cdot\int_{-n^\varepsilon}^{n^\varepsilon}|q'(t)|dt+O(n^{-\frac34+3\varepsilon}m^{-\frac14})=O(n^{-\frac12+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}m^{-\frac14})$$

Summarizing, we obtain $\big(q,(\psi_n\psi_m)(p)\big)^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}=O(n^{-\frac12-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}m^{-\frac12})$, if $m\geqslant n+n^{3/4}$. Therefore,

$$S_k^{(2)}=\sum_{n=0}^{k}\sum_{m=k+k^{3/4}}^{+\infty}\frac{O(n^{-\frac12-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}m^{-\frac12})}{m-n}\leqslant\sum_{n=0}^{k}O(n^{-\frac12-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}})\sum_{m=k+1}^{+\infty}\frac{O(m^{-\frac12})}{m-n}$$

Note that $\sum_{n=0}^{k}O(n^{-\frac12-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}})=O(k^{\frac12-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}})$ and $\sum_{m=k+1}^{+\infty}\frac{O(m^{-\frac12})}{m-n}=O(\log k\cdot k^{-\frac12})$. Hence, $S_k^{(2)}=o(1)$ as $k\to\infty$. We are done. $\square$

# References

[B] Bateman H.: *Higher transcendental functions.* Vol. II, New York-Toronto-London: McGraw-Hill, 1953.

[Ch] Chelkak D.: Approximation in the Space of Spectral Data of a Perturbed Harmonic Oscillator. J. Math. Sci. **117**(3), 4260–4269 (2003)

[CKK1] Chelkak D., Kargaev P., Korotyaev E.: An Inverse Problem for an Harmonic Oscillator Perturbed by Potential, Uniqueness. Lett. Math. Phys. **64**(1), 7–21 (2003)

[CKK2] Chelkak D., Kargaev P., Korotyaev E.: Inverse Problem for Harmonic Oscillator Perturbed by Potential, Characterization. Comm. Math. Phys. **249**(1), 133–196 (2004)

[DT] Dahlberg B., Trubowitz E.: The Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problem III. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **37**, 255–267 (1984)

[GS] Gesztesy F., Simon B.: Connectedness of the Isospectral Manifold for One-Dimensional Half-Line Schrödinger Operators. J. Stat. Phys. **116**, 361–365 (2004)

[IT] Isaacson E., Trubowitz E.: The Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problem I. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36**, 767–783 (1983)

[IMT] Isaacson E., McKean H.P., Trubowitz E.: The Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problem II. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **37**, 1–11 (1984)

[K1] Korotyaev E.: Characterization of the Spectrum of Schrödinger Operators with Periodic Distributions. Int. Math. Res. Not. **37**, 2019–2031 (2003).

[K2] Korotyaev E.: A Remark on the Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problem with Mixed Boundary Conditions. Preprint SFB 288, No. 598, Berlin 2004.

[Ka] Kato T.: *Perturbation theory for linear operators.* Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[MT] McKean H.P., Trubowitz E.: The Spectral Class of the Quantum-Mechanical Harmonic Oscillator. Comm. Math. Phys. **82**(4), 471–495 (1981/82)

[O] Olver F.: Two inequalities for parabolic cylinder functions. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **57**, 811–822 (1961)

[PT] Pöschel P., Trubowitz E.: *Inverse Spectral Theory.* Boston: Academic Press, 1987.

[RT] Ralston J., Trubowitz E.: Isospectral Sets for Boundary Value Problems on the Unit Interval. Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. **8**, 301–358 (1988)